

# COMMUTING NILPOTENT OPERATORS AND MAXIMAL RANK

SEMRA ÖZTÜRK KAPTANOĞLU

ABSTRACT. Let  $X, \tilde{X}$  be commuting nilpotent matrices over  $k$  with nilpotency  $p^t$ , where  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic  $p$ . We show that if  $X - \tilde{X}$  is a certain linear combination of products of commuting nilpotent matrices, then  $X$  is of maximal rank if and only if  $\tilde{X}$  is of maximal rank.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a nilpotent  $d \times d$  matrix over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  having nilpotency  $p^t$ , i.e.  $X^{p^t} = 0, X^{p^t-1} \neq 0$ . The *Jordan type*,  $J\text{-type}(X)$ , of  $X$  is defined as

$$J\text{-type}(X) = \eta_{p^t}[p^t] \oplus \eta_{p^t-1}[p^t-1] \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta_1[1],$$

where  $[i]$  denotes the Jordan block of size  $i \times i$  corresponding to the eigenvalue 0 and  $\eta_i$  denotes the multiplicity of  $[i]$  in the Jordan canonical form of  $X$ .

A nilpotent matrix with nilpotency  $p^t$  is said to be of *maximal rank* (or of *maximal Jordan type*) if its Jordan canonical form consists only of Jordan blocks of size  $p^t$ , that is, its Jordan type is  $\eta_{p^t}[p^t] \oplus 0[p^t-1] \oplus \cdots \oplus 0[1]$ . Thus the largest possible rank that a  $d \times d$  matrix of nilpotency  $p^t$  can attain is  $d \frac{p^t-1}{p^t}$ .

**Main Theorem.** *Let  $X, X_1, \dots, X_s$  be  $d \times d$  pairwise commuting nilpotent matrices over a field of characteristic  $p$  with nilpotencies  $p^{n_1}, p^{n_2}, \dots, p^{n_s}$  respectively, where  $n \geq n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_s$ . Let  $f \in k[t_1, \dots, t_s]$  be a polynomial having no constant or linear terms and  $\tilde{X} = X + f(X_1, \dots, X_s)$ . Then the matrix  $X$  is of maximal rank if and only if the matrix  $\tilde{X}$  is of maximal rank.*

An  $d \times d$  nilpotent matrix with nilpotency  $p^t$  represents the action of  $g - 1$  on a  $k$ -vector space of dimension  $d$  for the group  $\langle g \rangle \cong C_{p^t}$ . In other words, it represents a  $k[C_{p^t}]$ -module of  $k$ -dimension  $d$ . Note also that a  $k[C_{p^t}]$ -module is free if and only if the matrix representing the action of  $g - 1$  is of maximal rank. Therefore Main Theorem is a restatement of Theorem 3.2.

---

*Date:* May 2009.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A04; Secondary 15A21, 15A33.

*Key words and phrases.* Jordan canonical form, commuting nilpotent matrices.

Let  $k[G]$  denote the group algebra of the group  $G$ , and  $C_n$  denote the cyclic group of order  $n$ . There has been studies of  $k[G]$ -modules in terms of the Jordan type of the restriction of the module to subalgebras of  $k[G]$  that are of the form  $k[C_p] \cong k[x]/(x^p)$  even though it is not referred as Jordan type; when the group  $G$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group, i.e.  $G \cong (C_p)^{\times n}$ , in [Da] Dade gave a criterion to determine the freeness of a  $k[G]$ -module in terms of certain  $k[C_p]$ 's, in [Ca] Carlson introduced the rank variety for a  $k[G]$ -module when  $G$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group. Generalizations of Carlson's work to infinitesimal group schemes, and finite group schemes can be found in [SFB], [FP] respectively. In [SFP] generic and maximal Jordan types for modules are studied; this is followed by [CFP] where modules of constant Jordan type are introduced; exact category of modules of constant Jordan type are studied in [CF]. Studying modules by way of Jordan types is an active research area. Recently this type of study has been generalized to include the restrictions to subalgebras of  $k[G]$  that are of the form  $k[C_{p^t}]$  for  $t \geq 1$ , which in turn led to the definition of modules of constant  $p$ -power Jordan type when  $G$  is an abelian  $p$ -group in [Ka].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We first give two lemmas from the literature; the first one is for determining the freeness of a  $k[G]$ -module, the second one is a result on binomial coefficients mod- $p$ .

Let  $H$  be a finite group. The element  $\nu_H := \sum_{h \in H} h$  of  $k[H]$  is referred as the *norm* element of the group algebra  $k[H]$ . Note that if  $H = \langle g \rangle$ , where  $g$  is of order  $p^m$ , then  $\nu_H = (g - 1)^{p^m - 1}$  and  $\nu_{\langle g^{p^{m-1}} \rangle} = (g^{p^{m-1}} - 1)^{p-1} = (g - 1)^{p^m - p^{m-1}}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $P$  be a finite  $p$ -group, and  $M$  be a finitely generated  $k[P]$ -module. Then  $\dim_k(\nu_P M) \leq \frac{1}{|P|} \dim_k(M)$ . Moreover, the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\dim_k(\nu_P M) = \frac{\dim_k(M)}{|P|}$ .
- (ii)  $M$  is a free  $k[P]$ -module.

*In particular, if  $P = \langle g \rangle$  is of order  $p^m$ , then the following are equivalent.*

- (iii)  $\dim_k((g - 1)^{p^m - 1} M) = \frac{\dim_k(M)}{p^m}$ .
- (iv)  $\dim_k((g - 1)M) = (p^m - 1) \frac{\dim_k(M)}{p^m}$ .
- (v)  $\ker(g - 1 \text{ on } M) = (g - 1)^{p^m - 1} M$ .
- (vi)  $\ker((g - 1)^{p^m - 1} \text{ on } M) = (g - 1)M$ .

*Proof.* The equivalences can be derived from the Jordan form of the matrix representing the action of  $g - 1$  on  $M$  and the equivalent definitions of freeness.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $a, b$  be elements of a commutative  $k$ -algebra with  $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$ , and  $m$  be a positive integer. Then*

$$(a + b)^{p^m - 1} = a^{p^m - 1} - a^{p^m - 2}b + \dots - ab^{p^m - 2} + b^{p^m - 1}.$$

*Proof.* It is known that the binomial coefficients satisfy the following congruence;  $\binom{p^n - 1}{j} \equiv (-1)^j \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

First we prove a lemma using the lemmas given in the Preliminaries. It is the key result in the proof of the Main Theorem. It is a generalization of Proposition 2.2 of [FP] which is a generalization of Lemma 6.4 of [Ca], and also of Proposition 3.1 of [Fr].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $x, y, z$  be pairwise commuting nilpotent operators on  $M = k^d$  where  $k$  is a field of characteristic  $p$ . Suppose that the nilpotencies of  $x, y, z$  are  $p^{m_0}, p^{m_1}, l$ , respectively, where  $m_0 \geq m_1$  and  $l \geq 1$ . Then  $M$  is free as a  $k[C_{p^{m_0}}]$ -module where the action of  $g - 1$  on  $M$  is given by  $x$  if and only if  $M$  is free as a  $k[C_{p^{m_0}}]$ -module where the action of  $g - 1$  on  $M$  is given by  $x + yz$  for a generator  $g$  of  $C_{p^{m_0}}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $m_0 \geq m_1$  and  $k$  is a field of characteristic  $p$ ,  $(x + yz)^{p^{m_0}} = 0$ .

$\implies$ : Suppose that  $M$  is free as a  $k[C_{p^{m_0}}]$ -module where the action of  $g - 1$  on  $M$  is given by  $x$ . By Lemma 2.1 (v), this means that  $\ker(x)$  on  $M$  is equal to  $x^{p^{m_0} - 1}M$  as well as  $\ker(x^{p^{m_0} - 1})$  on  $M$  is equal to  $xM$ . Let  $N = \ker(x + yz)/(x + yz)^{p^{m_0} - 1}M$ . To show  $M$  is free as a  $k[C_{p^{m_0}}]$ -module where the action of  $g - 1$  on  $M$  is given by  $x + yz$ , we need to show that  $N = 0$ . Consider the action of  $z$  on  $N$ .

*Claim:* The action of  $z$  on  $N$  is injective. Suppose  $m \in \ker(x + yz)$  and  $zm \in (x + yz)^{p^{m_0} - 1}M$ . It suffices to show that  $m \in (x + yz)^{p^{m_0} - 1}M$ . By the hypothesis  $xm = -yzm$  and  $zm = (x + yz)^{p^{m_0} - 1}n$  for some  $n \in M$ . Hence by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$xm = -y(x^{p^{m_0} - 1} - x^{p^{m_0} - 2}yz + \dots - x(yz)^{p^{m_0} - 2} + (yz)^{p^{m_0} - 1})n.$$

Since  $y^{p^{m_0}} = 0$ ,  $xm = -y(x^{p^{m_0} - 1} - x^{p^{m_0} - 2}yz + \dots - x(yz)^{p^{m_0} - 2})n$ . Factoring  $x$  gives  $xm = -yx(x^{p^{m_0} - 2} - x^{p^{m_0} - 3}yz + \dots - (yz)^{p^{m_0} - 2})n$ ; thus

$$m + y(x^{p^{m_0} - 2} - x^{p^{m_0} - 3}yz + \dots - (yz)^{p^{m_0} - 2})n \in \ker(x).$$

Since  $\ker(x) = x^{p^{m_0} - 1}M$ ,  $m = x^{p^{m_0} - 1}s - y(x^{p^{m_0} - 2} - x^{p^{m_0} - 3}yz + \dots - (yz)^{p^{m_0} - 2})n$  for some  $s \in M$ . Multiplying  $m$  by  $z$  in the last equation and using the fact that  $zm = (x + yz)^{p^{m_0} - 1}n$  for some  $n \in M$ , we obtain that  $n - zs \in \ker(x^{p^{m_0} - 1})$ . Thus

$n = xt + zs$  for some  $t \in M$  as  $\ker(x^{p^{m_0}-1}) = xM$  by Lemma 2.1 (vi). It follows that  $m = (x + yz)^{p^{m_0}-1}(s - yt)$ , hence the claim is proved.

Since  $z$  is nilpotent, and injective on  $N$ , we conclude that  $N = 0$ .

$\Leftarrow$  Let  $x' = x + yz$ ,  $y' = -y$ ,  $z' = z$ . Arguing as in the proof of the other direction with  $x'$ ,  $y'$ ,  $z'$  instead of  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$ , respectively, we get the desired result. Namely, if  $M$  is free as a  $k[C_{p^{m_0}}]$ -module where the action of  $g - 1$  on  $M$  is given by  $x' = x + yz$  then  $M$  is free as a  $k[C_{p^{m_0}}]$ -module where the action of  $g - 1$  on  $M$  is given by  $x' + y'z' = x$ .  $\square$

Using Lemma 3.1 we prove the following generalization of Lemma 6.4 in [SFB].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $x, x_1, \dots, x_s$  be pairwise commuting nilpotent operators on  $M = k^d$  such that the nilpotencies of  $x, x_1, \dots, x_s$  are  $p^n, p^{n_1}, \dots, p^{n_s}$  respectively, where  $n \geq n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_s$ , and  $k$  is a field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $f \in k[t_1, \dots, t_s]$  be a polynomial having no constant or linear terms and  $\tilde{x} = x + f(x_1, \dots, x_s)$ . Then  $M$  is free as a  $k[C_{p^{m_0}}]$ -module where the action of  $g - 1$  on  $M$  is given by  $x$  if and only if  $M$  is free as a  $k[C_{p^{m_0}}]$ -module where the action of  $g - 1$  on  $M$  is given by  $\tilde{x}$ , for a generator  $g$  of  $C_{p^{m_0}}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $f(x_1, \dots, x_s) = \sum_{j=1}^s x_j y_j$  where  $y_j = f_j(x_1, \dots, x_s)$  for some  $f_j \in k[t_1, \dots, t_s]$  having no constant term, for  $j = 1, \dots, s$ , and also  $y_j$  is nilpotent. First apply Lemma 3.1 to the triple  $x, x_1, y_1$ , then to the triple  $x + x_1 y_1, x_2, y_2$ , and finally to the triple  $x + x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_{s-1} y_{s-1}, x_s, y_s$ . Then the statement follows.  $\square$

As noted in the introduction Main Theorem is a restatement of Theorem 3.2.

## REFERENCES

- [Ca] J. F. Carlson, *The varieties and the cohomology ring of a module*, J. Algebra **85** (1983), 104–143.
- [Da] E. Dade, *Endo-permutation modules over  $p$ -groups II*, Ann. of Math. **108** (1978), 317–346.
- [CF] J. F. Carlson, E. Friedlander, *Exact category of modules of constant Jordan type*, preprint
- [CFP] J. F. Carlson, E. Friedlander, J. Pevtsova, *Modules of constant Jordan type*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **614** (2008), 191–234.
- [Fr] R. Farnsteiner, *Support varieties,  $p$ -points and Jordan type*, University of Bielefeld, Lecture Series April 2007.
- [FP] E. Friedlander, J. Pevtsova, *Representation theoretic support spaces for finite group schemes*, Amer. J. Math. **127** (2005), 379–420.
- [FPe] E. Friedlander, J. Pevtsova, *Erratum to: Representation-theoretic support spaces for finite group schemes*, Amer. J. Math. **128** (2006), 1067–1068.
- [Ka] S. Ö. Kaptanoğlu,  *$p$ -power points and modules of constant  $p$ -power Jordan type*, preprint
- [SFB] A. Suslin, E. Friedlander, C. Bendel, *Support varieties for infinitesimal group schemes*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), 729–759.
- [SFP] A. Suslin, E. F. Friedlander, J. Pevtsova, *Generic and maximal Jordan types*, Invent. Math. **168** (2007), 485–522.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, ANKARA 06531, TURKEY  
E-mail address: sozkap@metu.edu.tr