

First name:_____**Last name:**_____**Student ID:**_____**Signature:**_____**Read before you start:**

- There are FIVE QUESTIONS.
- The examination is OPEN-BOOK.
- Besides correctness, the CLARITY of your presentation will also be graded.
- NO COLLABORATION with others.

Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Total

Q1.

Let the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$ be such that all of the following four systems are stable in the sense of Lyapunov:

$$(i) \quad \dot{x} = Ax, \quad (ii) \quad \dot{x} = -Ax, \quad (iii) \quad x^+ = Ax, \quad (iv) \quad x^+ = A^{-1}x.$$

Let now $B \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 1}$ be a nonzero matrix and consider the following single-input single-output system:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= B^T x. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

(a) Show that the system (1) cannot be controllable.

(b) Show that the system (1) cannot be BIBO stable.

Sol'n. (a) Let λ denote an arbitrary eigenvalue of A . Stability of the first system implies $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \leq 0$; second, $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0$; third, $|\lambda| \leq 1$; fourth, $|\lambda| \geq 1$. The intersection of these four regions of the complex plane is the set $\{+j, -j\} \ni \lambda$. Since A is real, the eigenvalues appear as conjugate pairs. Furthermore, since $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) = 0$, the stability of the first system implies that all the Jordan blocks should be of size 1×1 . Hence the Jordan form J of A reads

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} +j & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & +j & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -j \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $V \in \mathbb{C}^{4 \times 4}$ be such that $A = VJV^{-1}$. Since $J^2 = -I$ we have $A^2 = VJV^{-1}VJV^{-1} = VJ^2V^{-1} = -I$. Hence the controllability matrix reads

$$C = [B \quad AB \quad A^2B \quad A^3B] = [B \quad AB \quad -B \quad -AB].$$

We now see that $\operatorname{rank}(C) \leq 2$. Lack of controllability then follows from this rank deficiency.

(b) Recall that the set of poles of the transfer function $H(s)$ is a subset of the set of eigenvalues of A . This allows only three candidates.

$$\text{Either } H(s) = 0, \quad \text{or } H(s) = \frac{\cdots}{s^2 + 1}, \quad \text{or } H(s) = \frac{\cdots}{(s^2 + 1)^2}.$$

Of these, only the first one $H(s) = 0$ is the transfer function of a BIBO stable system. Let us now show that $H(s) = 0$ is impossible. Suppose $H(s) = 0$. Then the impulse response $h(t) = B^T e^{At} B$ is zero for all $t \geq 0$. Since $e^{At}|_{t=0} = I$, we can write $0 = h(0) = B^T B = \|B\|^2$. This however contradicts the fact that B is nonzero.

Q2.

Consider the following third order system (“*” means “don’t care”)

$$\dot{x} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & * \\ 2 & 2 & * \\ 1 & -1 & * \end{bmatrix}}_A x + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_B u.$$

This system is known to be uncontrollable.

- (a) Find a basis for the controllable subspace.
- (b) Determine whether this system is stabilizable.

Sol’n. (a) Recall that the range space of the controllability matrix $C = [B \ AB \ A^2B]$ coincides with the controllable subspace \mathcal{C} . Observe that we have

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & * \\ -1 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 2 & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the system is uncontrollable, $\text{rank}(C) < 3$. We also see that the first two columns of C are linearly independent. Hence $\text{rank}(C)$ must equal 2. Consequently, $\text{range}(C)$ can be spanned by the first two columns. This allows us to write

$$\mathcal{C} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

(b) That the system is uncontrollable implies the existence of an eigenvector v and an eigenvalue λ satisfying $A^T v = \lambda v$ and $B^T v = 0$. Combining these we can write $B^T A^T v = \lambda B^T v = 0$. In other words,

$$v \in \text{null} \begin{bmatrix} B^T \\ B^T A^T \end{bmatrix} = \text{null} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Since $A^T v = \lambda v$ we have to have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & -1 \\ * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which yields $\lambda = 4$. Hence the system is not stabilizable because $\text{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0$.

Q3.

Let $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric positive definite and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$. Construct the complex matrix

$$Z = BB^T + jP.$$

Consider now the following system

$$\dot{x} = Px + Bu.$$

(a) Show that the system is controllable if Z has no eigenvalue on the imaginary axis.

(b) Show that Z has no eigenvalue on the imaginary axis if the system is controllable.

Sol'n. (a) Let the system be uncontrollable. Then there exist an eigenvector v and an eigenvalue σ satisfying $\sigma v = P^T v = Pv$ and $B^T v = 0$. Since P is symmetric positive definite, σ is real and positive. Then $Zv = (BB^T + jP)v = B(B^T v) + j(Pv) = j\sigma v$. That is, Z has a purely imaginary eigenvalue.

(b) To show the other direction suppose Z has a purely imaginary eigenvalue $\lambda = j\omega$ (where ω is real). Let η be the corresponding eigenvector. Without loss of generality let η be a unit vector. That is, $\eta^* \eta = 1$. We can write

$$j\omega = \eta^*(j\omega\eta) = \eta^* Z \eta = \eta^*(BB^T + jP)\eta = \|B^T \eta\|^2 + j(\eta^* P \eta)$$

which allows us to see $B^T \eta = 0$ because $\eta^* P \eta$ is a real number. Now, we can proceed as

$$j\omega = Z\eta = (BB^T + jP)\eta = B(B^T \eta) + jP\eta = jP\eta$$

which tells us at once that $\omega\eta = P\eta = P^T \eta$. Hence the system has to be uncontrollable because this eigenvector η of P^T belongs to $\text{null}(B^T)$.

Q4.

Consider the following system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}}_A x + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_B u \\ y &= \underbrace{[0 \ 1 \ 1]}_C x.\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Find a feedback gain $K = [k_1 \ k_2 \ k_3]$ such that under the feedback law $u = -Kx$ the eigenvalues of the closed-loop system are $\lambda_1 = -1$, $\lambda_2 = -2$, $\lambda_3 = -3$.
- (b) Consider now the control law of the form $u = -Kx + \gamma\bar{r}$ where K is found in part (a), $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ is your design parameter, and $\bar{r} \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes some constant reference value (unknown to the designer). Find γ so that, under the proposed control law, the output of the system converges to the reference value $y(t) \rightarrow \bar{r}$ for all initial conditions $x(0)$. (Your answer should be independent of \bar{r} .)

Sol'n. (a) Let $\lambda(A - BK)$ mean the set of eigenvalues of $A - BK$. The system is in controllable decomposition form. This allows us to see

$$\lambda(A - BK) = \lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 - k_1 & 2 - k_2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) \cup \{-3\}$$

Letting $k_1 = 8$ and $k_2 = 12$ we obtain

$$\lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 - k_1 & 2 - k_2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \lambda\left(\begin{bmatrix} -7 & -10 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \{-1, -2\}.$$

Hence $K = [8 \ 12 \ k_3]$ would work for any k_3 .

(b) The closed-loop dynamics read

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= (A - BK)x + \gamma B\bar{r} \\ y &= Cx.\end{aligned}$$

Since $A - BK$ is a Hurwitz matrix, the steady state exists. That is, $x(t) \rightarrow \bar{x}$ where \bar{x} is constant. In the steady state $\dot{x} = 0$. Therefore $0 = (A - BK)\bar{x} + \gamma B\bar{r}$ whence $\bar{x} = -\gamma(A - BK)^{-1}B\bar{r}$. Now, what we want is, clearly, $\bar{r} = C\bar{x} = -\gamma C(A - BK)^{-1}B\bar{r}$. Hence

$$\gamma = -\frac{1}{C(A - BK)^{-1}B} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Q5.

Given a controllable pair (A, B) suppose there exists $P = P^T > 0$ such that $A^T P + PA \leq 0$. Consider now the matrix $H = A - BB^T P$.

- (a) Show that the pair (H, B) is controllable.
- (b) Show that the system $\dot{x} = Hx$ is exponentially stable.

Sol'n. (a) Suppose not. Then we can find an eigenvector v and an eigenvalue λ such that $H^T v = \lambda v$ and $B^T v = 0$. Now, we can write $A^T v = (H^T + PBB^T)v = H^T v + PB(B^T v) = \lambda v$. But this implies (A, B) is uncontrollable.

(b) Note that $A^T P + PA \leq 0$ implies $Q(A^T P + PA)Q \leq 0$ for any symmetric positive definite matrix Q . Let us choose $Q = P^{-1}$. Since P is symmetric positive definite, so is Q . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} QH^T + HQ &= P^{-1}(A^T - PBB^T) + (A - BB^T P)P^{-1} \\ &= P^{-1}A^T + AP^{-1} - 2BB^T \\ &= Q(A^T P + PA)Q - 2BB^T \\ &\leq -2BB^T. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let λ be an eigenvalue of H^T with the corresponding eigenvector η , i.e., $H^T \eta = \lambda \eta$. Since the pair (H, B) is controllable we have that $B^T \eta \neq 0$. We can proceed as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> -2\|B^T \eta\|^2 \\ &= -2\eta^* BB^T \eta \\ &\geq \eta^*(QH^T + HQ)\eta \\ &= \eta^* Q(H^T \eta) + (H^T \eta)^* Q \eta \\ &= (\lambda + \lambda^*)\eta^* Q \eta \\ &= 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\eta^* Q \eta \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < 0$ since $\eta^* Q \eta > 0$. Recall that H and H^T share the same eigenvalues. As a result, all the eigenvalues of H are on the open left half-plane. Exponential stability then follows.