

**M E T U Department of Mathematics**

|   |                   |                         |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Math 497 Hilbert Space Techniques Fall 2025 Final Exam 14 January 2026 09:30</b> |                   |                         |
| F U L L N A M E   | S T U D E N T I D | DURATION<br>140 MINUTES |
| 3 QUESTIONS ON 4 PAGES  |                   | TOTAL 100 POINTS        |

By signing below, I pledge that I will write this examination as my own work and without the assistance of others or the usage of unauthorized material or information. I understand that possession of any kind of electronic device during the exam is prohibited. I also understand that not obeying the rules of the examination will result in immediate cancellation and disciplinary procedures.

Signature .....

In all questions, the underlying field is  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ .

**(5+15+5+10 pts) 1.** In this question, you shall be guided through a proof of that boundedness implies weak sequential compactness in a separable Hilbert space and you shall fill in the gaps.

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space and  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be bounded sequence. Then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+}$  such that  $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is weakly convergent.

**Proof.** Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is separable, we can fix a countable dense subset  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

a) Prove that the sequence  $(\langle x_n | a_0 \rangle)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

Since the sequence  $(\langle x_n | a_0 \rangle)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{K}$ , by the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem,

- there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k}^0)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(\langle x_{n_k}^0 | a_0 \rangle)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges and hence, is bounded.

Now, as in Part (a), the sequence  $(\langle x_{n_k}^0 | a_1 \rangle)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{K}$  and hence, as before,

- there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_{k_1}}^1)_{k_1 \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(x_{n_k}^0)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(\langle x_{n_{k_1}}^1 | a_1 \rangle)_{k_1 \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges and hence, is bounded.

Continuing in this manner, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can construct a subsequence  $(x_{n_{k_1 \dots k_l}}^{k+1})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(x_{n_{k_1 \dots k_{l-1}}}^k)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(\langle x_{n_{k_1 \dots k_l}}^{k+1} | a_k \rangle)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges and hence, is bounded. Let  $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the “diagonal” sequence given by

$$z_n = x_{n_{k_1 \dots k_n}}^n$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We claim that the subsequence  $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is weakly convergent.

b) Using an  $\epsilon$ -argument together with the density of  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , show that the sequence  $(\langle z_n | w \rangle)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy and hence, converges for every  $w \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Consider the map  $L : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  given by

$$L(w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle w | z_n \rangle$$

for all  $w \in \mathcal{H}$ . Clearly, inner product being linear in the first component,  $L$  is a linear functional.

c) Show that  $L$  is bounded.

d) Show that there exists  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $z_n \rightharpoonup x$ .

This completes the proof that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a weakly convergent subsequence. ■

**(10+10+15 pts) 2.** In this question, you shall be guided through a proof of the Banach-Saks theorem for separable Hilbert spaces and you shall fill in the gaps.

**Theorem. (Banach-Saks)** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space. Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}$  be a bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+}$  such that the Césaro limit of  $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+}$  exists, that is, the sequence

$$\left( \frac{x_{n_1} + \cdots + x_{n_k}}{k} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+}$$

converges to some  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Proof.** Using Question 1, by replacing it with an appropriate subsequence if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}$  is weakly convergent, say,  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  for some  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . We claim that  $x$  is the Césaro limit of a subsequence. Our idea is to recursive choose a sequence  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+}$  such that the statement

$$*_k : \quad |\langle x_{n_i} - x | x_{n_k} - x \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, k-1$$

holds for all  $k \geq 2$ . Set  $n_1 = 1$ . Let  $k \geq 1$  and assume that  $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  have been chosen so that the statements  $*_2, \dots, *_k$  hold. We shall choose  $n_{k+1} \in \mathbb{N}^+$  so that the statement  $*_{k+1}$  holds as well.

a) Show that, for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , we have  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_{n_i} - x | x_m - x \rangle = 0$ .

b) Choose  $n_{k+1} \in \mathbb{N}^+$  so that  $*_{k+1}$  holds, that is

$$|\langle x_{n_i} - x | x_{n_{k+1}} - x \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, k$$

It now follows from Part (b) that such a recursive construction of  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+}$  is possible. Since  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}$  is bounded, so is  $(x_n - x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}$ , say,  $\|x_n - x\| \leq M$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

c) Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Show that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k (x_{n_i} - x) \right\|^2 \leq 2k + kM^2$$

and deduce that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{n_1} + \dots + x_{n_k}}{k} = x$ .

(10+10+10 pts) 3. Determine whether the following statements are true or false. If the statement is true, prove the statement. If the statement is false, disprove the statement by providing a counterexample.

a) **TRUE OR FALSE:** For every Hilbert space  $H$  and every subspace  $M \subseteq H$ , we have  $(M^\perp)^\perp = M$ .

b) **TRUE OR FALSE:** For every Hilbert space  $H$  and every bounded linear operator  $T \in L(H)$ , if  $T^2 = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $T$  is compact.

c) **TRUE OR FALSE:** For every Hilbert space  $H$  and every bounded linear operator  $T \in L(H)$ , we have  $\sigma(T^*) = \{\bar{z} \in \mathbb{K} : z \in \sigma(T)\}$ .