

M E T U Department of Mathematics

By signing below, I pledge that I will write this examination as my own work and without the assistance of others or the usage of unauthorized material or information. I understand that possession of any kind of electronic device during the exam is prohibited. I also understand that not obeying the rules of the examination will result in immediate cancellation and disciplinary procedures.

Signature ..

$(15+20+15 \text{ pts})$ 1.

a) Show that the set $S = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : xyz = 0\}$ is not a subspace of the vector space \mathbb{R}^3 .

Observe that $(1, 1, 0) \in S$ and $(0, 0, 1) \in S$ but $(1, 1, 0) + (0, 0, 1) = (1, 1, 1) \notin S$. Since a subspace of a vector space is closed with respect to vector addition, we have that S is not a subspace.

b) Show that the vectors $(1,0,1), (2,1,2), (0,1,1)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 are linearly independent.

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\alpha(1, 0, 1) + \beta(2, 1, 2) + \gamma(0, 1, 1) = \vec{\mathbf{0}} = (0, 0, 0)$. We wish to show that $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$. From the previous equality, we must have

$$
\alpha + 2\beta = 0
$$

$$
\beta + \gamma = 0
$$

$$
\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma = 0
$$

Applying Gaussian elimination to this system of equations, we get

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[-R_1 + R_3 \to R_3]{\text{min}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[-R_3 + R_2 \to R_2]{\text{min}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[-R_2 + R_1 \to R_1]{\text{min}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Therefore, the system has a unique solution $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$. It follows that $(1, 0, 1)$, $(2, 1, 2)$, $(0, 1, 1)$ are linearly independent.

c) Find a value $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the vectors $(1,0,1)$, $(2,1,r)$, $(0,1,1)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 are linearly dependent. For the value $r \in \mathbb{R}$ that you have found, express the vector $(2, 1, r)$ as a linear combination of $(1, 0, 1)$ and $(0, 1, 1).$

We wish to find $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha(1, 0, 1) + \beta(2, 1, r) + \gamma(0, 1, 1) = \vec{\mathbf{0}} = (0, 0, 0)$ and at least one of α, β, γ is non-zero. As in Part (b), the relevant system of equations and applying elementary row operations give

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & r & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[-R_1 + R_3 \to R_3]{-R_1 + R_3 \to R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & r - 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

If we choose $r = 3$, then we get a zero row after the row operation $-R_2 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3$ and hence the relevant system of equations has a non-zero solution, i.e. there exists such α, β, γ at least one of which is non-zero. Indeed, for $r = 3$, we have that $(2, 1, 3) = 2 \cdot (1, 0, 1) + 1 \cdot (0, 1, 1)$.

 $(10+10 \text{ pts})$ 2. Determine whether each of the following statements is true of false. If the statement is true, prove it. If the statement is false, provide an explicit counterexample.

a) For all unit vectors $\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, if $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{u} \cdot \vec{w}$, then $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w} = 1$.

This statement is **false**. For a counterexample, choose $\vec{u} = (1, 0)$, $\vec{v} = (0, 1)$ and $\vec{w} = (0, -1)$. Then we have that $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = 1 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 1 = 0 = 1 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot (-1) = \vec{u} \cdot \vec{w}$, however, $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w} = 0 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot (-1) = -1 \neq 1$

b) For all vectors $\vec{a}, \vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, if $\vec{a} \times \vec{c} = \vec{b} \times \vec{c}$ for every $\vec{c} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, then $\vec{a} = \vec{b}$.

This statement is **true**. Let us prove it by a direct proof: Let $\vec{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3), \vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Assume that $\vec{a} \times \vec{c} = \vec{b} \times \vec{c}$ for every $\vec{c} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. In particular, $\vec{a} \times (0, 0, 1) = \vec{b} \times (0, 0, 1)$ and $\vec{a} \times (1, 0, 0) = \vec{b} \times (1, 0, 0)$. Computing the first cross product, we get

$$
(a_2, -a_1, 0) = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \vec{a} \times (0, 0, 1) = \vec{b} \times (0, 0, 1) = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = (b_2, -b_1, 0)
$$

Hence $a_1 = b_1$ and $a_2 = b_2$. Computing the second cross product, we get

$$
(0, a_3, -a_2) = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = \vec{a} \times (1, 0, 0) = \vec{b} \times (1, 0, 0) = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = (0, b_3, -b_2)
$$

Hence $a_3 = b_3$ as well. It follows that $\vec{a} = \vec{b}$.

(15+15 pts) 3. Let P be the plane in \mathbb{R}^3 that passes through the points $(3, 2, 1), (1, 2, 3), (2, 1, 3)$. a) Find an equation for the plane P.

Let $A = (3, 2, 1), B = (1, 2, 3), C = (2, 1, 3)$. Since the plane P contains the points A, B, C, the vectors \overline{AB} and \overline{AC} are parallel to the plane $\mathcal P$. Hence a normal vector the plane $\mathcal P$, which is supposed to be perpendicular to both \overrightarrow{AB} and \overrightarrow{AC} , can be given by

$$
\vec{AB} \times \vec{AC} = ((1,2,3) - (3,2,1)) \times ((2,1,3) - (3,2,1)) = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = (2,2,2)
$$

Thus, using the point A and the normal $\vec{n} = (2, 2, 2)$, an equation of the plane P can be given by

$$
\vec{n} \bullet ((x, y, z) - (3, 2, 1)) = 0
$$

$$
2(x - 3) + 2(y - 2) + 2(z - 2) = 0
$$

$$
x + y + z = 6
$$

b) Find a parametric equation of a line ℓ that passes through the point $(1, 1, 1)$ and that does not intersect the plane P.

Observe that there exist infinitely many such lines and direction vectors of each of these lines are all parallel to P , that is, perpendicular to a normal vector of P . Consequently, in order to find such a line, it suffices to find a direction vector \vec{u} that is perpendicular to a normal vector of \mathcal{P} . Hence, it follows from what we found in Part (a) that the line ℓ that passing through $(1, 1, 1)$ with direction vector \overrightarrow{AB} = (-2, 0, 2) does not intersect P. An equation for ℓ can be given by

$$
\ell: x = 1 - 2\lambda, y = 1, z = 1 + 2\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}
$$