

M E T U
Department of Mathematics

Ideals, Varieties and Algorithms				
Midterm 2				
Code	: Math 473	Last Name	:	
Acad. Year	: 2019-2020	First Name	: Student ID	
Semester	: Fall	Department	:	
Instructor	: Tolga Karayayla	Signature	:	
Date	: 10.12.2019	5 Questions on 4 Pages		
Time	: 17.40	SHOW DETAILED WORK!		
Duration	: 120 minutes			
1	2	3	4	5

NOTE: k is a field in all questions below.

1. (3 × 5 pts.) For a fixed monomial order let G be a Groebner basis for an ideal $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and let \bar{f}^G denote the unique remainder of $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ on division by elements of G .

a) Show that $\bar{f}^G = \bar{g}^G$ if and only if $f - g \in I$.

$$f = f_1 + \bar{f}^G, g = g_1 + \bar{g}^G \text{ where } f_1, g_1 \in I$$

$$(G = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_s\}) \Rightarrow \text{By Div. Alg.}, f = a_1 h_1 + \dots + a_s h_s + \bar{f}^G, \bar{f}^G = r$$

$$\bar{f}^G = \bar{g}^G \Rightarrow f - g = f_1 + \bar{f}^G - g_1 - \bar{g}^G = f_1 - g_1 \in I \text{ since } g_1, f_1 \in I$$

$$f - g \in I \Rightarrow \bar{f}^G - \bar{g}^G = (f - f_1) - (g - g_1) = (f - g) - f_1 + g_1 \in I \Rightarrow \overline{\bar{f}^G - \bar{g}^G} = 0$$

No term of \bar{f}^G and \bar{g}^G is div. by any of $LT(h_1), \dots, LT(h_s)$ (remainder in Div. Alg.)

$$\text{so, } \overline{\bar{f}^G - \bar{g}^G} = \bar{f}^G - \bar{g}^G$$

$$0 = \bar{f}^G - \bar{g}^G \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{\bar{f}^G = \bar{g}^G}}$$

b) Conclude that $\overline{f+g}^G = \bar{f}^G + \bar{g}^G$.

Since no term of \bar{f}^G and \bar{g}^G is div. by any of $LT(h_1), \dots, LT(h_s)$, $\overline{\bar{f}^G + \bar{g}^G} = \bar{f}^G + \bar{g}^G$

so we want to show $\overline{f+g}^G = \overline{\bar{f}^G + \bar{g}^G}^G (= \bar{f}^G + \bar{g}^G)$

From part a, this is equivalent to show $f+g - (\bar{f}^G + \bar{g}^G) \in I$.

$$f = f_1 + \bar{f}^G, g = g_1 + \bar{g}^G \text{ where } f_1, g_1 \in I \text{ as in part a.}$$

$$f+g - (\bar{f}^G + \bar{g}^G) = f_1 + g_1 \in I \text{ since } f_1, g_1 \in I, \text{ completing the proof.}$$

c) Conclude that $\overline{f \cdot g}^G = \bar{f}^G \cdot \bar{g}^G$.

From part a, this is equivalent to $f \cdot g - \bar{f}^G \cdot \bar{g}^G \in I$.

$$f \cdot g = (f_1 + \bar{f}^G) \cdot (g_1 + \bar{g}^G) = f_1 g_1 + f_1 \bar{g}^G + g_1 \bar{f}^G + \bar{f}^G \cdot \bar{g}^G$$

$$\Rightarrow f \cdot g - \bar{f}^G \cdot \bar{g}^G = f_1 g_1 + f_1 \bar{g}^G + g_1 \bar{f}^G \in I \text{ since } f_1, g_1 \in I. \text{ This completes the proof.}$$

2. (20 + 5 + 5 pts.) a) Use Buchberger's Algorithm to compute a Groebner basis of the ideal $I =$

$\langle x^2y^2 + x + 1, xy^3 + y + 1 \rangle$ with respect to lex order where $x > y > z$.

$$f_1 = x^2y^2 + x + 1, f_2 = xy^3 + y + 1, G_1 = \{f_1, f_2\}, S(f_1, f_2) = y(x^2y^2 + x + 1) - x(xy^3 + y + 1) = y - x - x^2y^2 + x + 1 = y - x^2y^2 + 1$$

$$-x + y = 0 \cdot f_1 + 0 \cdot f_2 + (-x + y) \Rightarrow \overline{-x + y} = f_3 \Rightarrow G_2 = \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$$

$$S(f_1, f_3) = 1 \cdot (x^2y^2 + x + 1) + xy^2(-x + y) = xy^2 + x + 1 - x^2y^2 = xy^2 + x + 1 - x^2y^2$$

$$xy^3 + x + 1 = 0 \cdot f_1 + 1 \cdot f_2 - 1 \cdot f_3 + 0 \Rightarrow S(f_1, f_3) = 0$$

$$S(f_2, f_3) = 1 \cdot (xy^3 + y + 1) + y^3(-x + y) = y^4 + y + 1 - xy^3 = y^4 + y + 1 - xy^3$$

$$\Rightarrow G_3 = \{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}$$

$$S(f_1, f_4) = y^2(x^2y^2 + x + 1) - x^2(y^4 + y + 1) = x^2y^2 + x + 1 - x^2y^4 - x^2y - x^2 = (xy + x + y)(-x + y)$$

$$\Rightarrow S(f_1, f_4) = 0$$

$$= 0f_1 + 0f_2 + (xy + x + y)f_3 + 0f_4$$

$$S(f_2, f_4) = y(xy^3 + y + 1) - x(y^4 + y + 1) = -xy - x + y^4 + y + 1 = (-x + y)(y + 1)$$

$$= 0f_1 + 0f_2 + (y + 1)f_3 + 0f_4$$

$$\Rightarrow S(f_2, f_4) = 0$$

$$S(f_3, f_4) = y^4(-x + y) + x(y^4 + y + 1) = -xy^4 + y^5 + xy^4 + xy + x = (-xy + x)(-y - 1) + y^5 + xy^2 + y$$

$$\Rightarrow S(f_3, f_4) = 0$$

$$= 0f_1 + 0f_2 + (-y - 1)f_3 + yf_4 + 0$$

We processed all $S(f_i, f_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq 4$.

$G_3 = \{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}$ is a Gr. Basis of I by Buchberger's Algorithm.

4. (6 + 4 + 10 pts.) Let $x = st, y = s^2, z = t^2$ be a parametrization. A Groebner basis for the ideal $I = \langle x - st, y - s^2, z - t^2 \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}[s, t, x, y, z]$ for lex order with $s > t > x > y > z$ is given as $G = \{st - x, s^2 - y, t^2 - z, x^2 - yz, sx - ty, sz - tx\}$.

a) Write down generators of the elimination ideals I_1 and I_2 .

$$I_1 = \langle G \cap k[t, \tau, y, z] \rangle = \langle t^2 - z, \tau^2 - yz \rangle$$

$$I_2 = \langle G \cap k[\tau, y, z] \rangle = \langle \tau^2 - yz \rangle$$

b) What is the smallest affine variety in \mathbb{C}^3 containing the image of this parametrization.

By polynomial implicitization Thm, since \mathbb{C} is infinite field, answer is $V(I_2) = V(\tau^2 - yz)$

c) Show that the image of the parametrization equals the variety in part (b) in \mathbb{C}^3 , but if the parametrization is considered from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^3 , then the image of the parametrization is not equal to this variety in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Let $(\tau, y, z) \in V(I_2) = V(\tau^2 - yz) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$ be a partial solution.

By Extension Thm (\mathbb{C} is alg. closed), $(\tau, y, z) \notin V(I, \tau^2 - yz) (= \emptyset) \Rightarrow$ it extends
 $(I = \text{coeff. of } t^2 \text{ in } t^2 - z \in I_1, \tau^2 - yz = \text{coeff. of } t^0 \text{ in } \tau^2 - yz \in I_1)$ so top $V(I_1) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$

similarly, by Ext. Thm $(t, \tau, y, z) \in V(I_1)$ extends to $(s, t, \tau, y, z) \in V(I)$ (satisfied) $(t, \tau, y, z) \in V(I)$
 since $(t, \tau, y, z) \notin V(I, \dots) = \emptyset$

If we work over \mathbb{R} , we can't use \downarrow : coeff. of s^2 in $s^2 - y \in V(I)$

To show $V(\tau^2 - yz) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is not equal to image of param, we'll show that

some $(\tau, y, z) \in V(\tau^2 - yz) = V(I_2)$ does not extend to $(s, t, \tau, y, z) \in V(I) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^5$

Let $\tau^2 - yz = 0$
 $t^2 - z = 0$
 $s^2 - y = 0$ } $\Rightarrow t^2 = z, s^2 = y, s, t \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow yz = 0, z = 0$ in \mathbb{R} .

But in $V(\tau^2 - yz)$, there are points with $y < 0$ or $z < 0$
 For example (r^2, r, r) for any $r \neq 0, r \in \mathbb{R}$. Infinitely many such $(\tau, y, z) \in V(I_2) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ do not extend.

5. (15 pts.) Let $I = \langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r \rangle \subset k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be a given ideal. How can you determine whether I is a principal ideal or not? Describe an algorithm for this problem. DO NOT write down a code for the algorithm, only describe the process step by step in words. Explain why this algorithm gives the result.

I is principal ideal $\Leftrightarrow I = \langle h \rangle$ for some $h \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

Let $J = \langle h \rangle, I = \langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r \rangle$

$I = J \Leftrightarrow$ Their reduced Groebner Bases are the same.

For $J = \langle h \rangle$, since there is one generator, Buchberger's Alg. gives a Groebner Basis

of J as $\bar{G} = \{h\}$, reduced Gr. Basis of J is $\{\frac{1}{c} \cdot h\}$ where $c = LC(h)$.

Thus, $I = J = \langle h \rangle$ for some $h \Leftrightarrow$ Reduced Gr Basis of I is of the form $\{\frac{1}{c} \cdot h\}$

So,

Step 1: Find a Gr. Basis of I by Buchberger's Alg.

Step 2: From this Gr. Basis of I , we can find the reduced Gr. Basis of I (one element Gr. Basis).

Step 3: If reduced Gr. Basis of I has only one element, then I is principal.

If reduced Gr. Basis has more than one element, then I is not principal.