

Name:

Student number:

### METU MATH 111, Final Exam

Monday, January 10, 2011, at 16:30 (120 minutes)

**Instructors:** Berkman, Küçüksakallı, Pamuk, Pierce

**Instructions:** There are 8 numbered problems on 4 pages.

It should be obvious to the grader how to read your solutions.

Please work carefully.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
$\Sigma$	

**Problem 1.** True or false? (Put  $T$  or  $F$  in the brackets. Do *not* justify your answers. Blank answers get 0; *wrong* answers get negative points.)

- (a)  $\mathbb{R}$  is countable ..... (F)
- (b) The set of polynomials in the variable  $x$  with integer coefficients is countable.. (T)
- (c) If  $A$  and  $B$  are uncountable sets, then  $A \setminus B$  is countable..... (F)
- (d) A relation  $R$  is symmetric if and only if  $R = \check{R}$  (that is,  $R = R^{-1}$ ) ..... (T)
- (e) For all sets  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(A) \cap \mathcal{P}(B) = \mathcal{P}(A \cap B)$  ..... (T)
- (f) For all sets  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(A) \cup \mathcal{P}(B) = \mathcal{P}(A \cup B)$  ..... (F)
- (g)  $\neg(\exists x \in A) P(x)$  is logically equivalent to  $(\forall x \in A) \neg P(x)$ ..... (T)
- (h)  $(\forall x \in A) P(x) \wedge (\forall x \in B) P(x)$  is equivalent to  $(\forall x \in (A \cup B)) P(x)$ ..... (T)
- (i) If  $A$  is a *totally* (or linearly) ordered set and  $x$  is a maximal element of  $A$ , then  $x$  is the maximum element of  $A$  ..... (T)

**Problem 2.** The triangle inequality in  $\mathbb{R}$  is given by  $\forall x \forall y (|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|)$ . Using this, prove that

$$|a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n| \leq |a_0| + |a_1| + \dots + |a_n|$$

for all nonempty finite sets  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  of real numbers.

Let  $P(n)$  be the statement

$$|a_0 + \dots + a_n| \leq |a_0| + \dots + |a_n|$$

Since  $|a_0| \leq |a_0|$  for any  $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P(0)$  is true. Now suppose that  $P(n)$  is true. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 | \underbrace{a_0 + \dots + a_n}_x + \underbrace{a_{n+1}}_y | &\leq |a_0 + \dots + a_n| + |a_{n+1}| \quad (\text{Triangle Inequality}) \\
 &\leq |a_0| + \dots + |a_n| + |a_{n+1}| \quad (\text{Inductive Hypothesis})
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $P(n+1)$  is true. By induction we conclude that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Problem 3.** Suppose  $R$  is a partial ordering of  $A$ , and  $S$  is a partial ordering of  $B$ . Let

$$T = \{((a, b), (a', b')) \in (A \times B) \times (A \times B) : a R a' \text{ and } b S b'\}.$$

(a) Show that  $T$  is a partial ordering of  $A \times B$ .

$T$  is reflexive: Since  $R$  and  $S$  are partial orders  $a R a$  and  $b S b$  for  $a, b$  in  $A, B$  respectively. Thus  $(a, b) T (a, b)$ .

$T$  is antisymmetric: If  $(a, b) T (a', b')$  and  $(a', b') T (a, b)$  then  $a R a'$  and  $a' R a$ . Thus  $a = a'$  since  $R$  is antisym. Similarly  $b = b'$ . Therefore  $T$  is antisymmetric.

$T$  is transitive: If  $(a, b) T (a', b')$  and  $(a', b') T (a'', b'')$ , then  $a R a'$  and  $a' R a''$ . Thus  $a R a''$  since  $R$  is transitive. Similarly  $b S b''$ . Therefore  $(a, b) T (a'', b'')$  and  $T$  is transitive.

(b) If both  $R$  and  $S$  are total (or linear) orderings, will  $T$  also be a total ordering? Justify your answer.

No. Consider  $A = B = \mathbb{R}$  and "less than or equal" relation on both  $A$  and  $B$ . The induced relation  $T$  is not a total order because neither  $(1, 2) T (2, 1)$  nor  $(2, 1) T (1, 2)$ .

**Problem 4.** Suppose  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $A$  is a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that, for every element  $x$  of  $A$ , we have  $x \leq b$ . Prove that  $A$  has a maximum element with respect to  $\leq$ .

Consider  $C = \{b - x : x \in A\}$ , a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  since  $x \leq b$  for all  $x \in A$ . Using well ordering principle pick  $b - a_0 \in C$  a smallest element of  $C$ . Then  $b - a_0 \leq b - x$  for all  $x \in A$ . It follows that  $x \leq a_0$  for all  $x \in A$ . The element  $a_0$  is a maximum element of  $A$  with respect to  $\leq$ .

**Problem 5.** Define the relation  $E$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $x E y \iff x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(a) Show that  $E$  is an equivalence relation.

$E$  is reflexive: For any real  $x$ , we have  $x - x = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
Thus  $x E x$ . The relation  $E$  is reflexive.

$E$  is symmetric: If  $x E y$ , then  $x - y = k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  
Then  $y - x = -k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $y E x$  and  $E$  is symmetric.

$E$  is transitive: If  $x E y$  and  $y E z$ , then we have  
 $x - y = k$  and  $y - z = l$  for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now  $x - z$   
equals  $k + l$ , an element of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore  $x E z$   
and  $E$  is transitive.

(b) What is  $[0]$ ?  $[0] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x - 0 \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathbb{Z}$

(c) Write three distinct elements of  $\mathbb{R}/E$ .  $[0], [1/3], [2/3]$

(d) Is  $\mathbb{R}/E$  countable? (Explain briefly.)

For any  $x \in [0, 1)$ , we obtain a distinct equivalence class of  $E$ . Since  $[0, 1)$  is uncountable,  $\mathbb{R}/E$  is uncountable too.

**Problem 6.** Let  $S$  be the relation  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Answer each of the following questions by giving either a proof or a counterexample.

(a) Is the logical sentence  $\forall x \exists y (x S y)$  true in  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

No. If  $x = 2$ , then there is no  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  
 $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ .

(b) Is  $S$  a function from  $[-1, 1]$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

No. If  $x = 0$ , then consider  $y_1 = 1$  and  $y_2 = -1$ .  
We have  $x S y_1$  and  $x S y_2$  but  $y_1 \neq y_2$ .

**Problem 7.** Explain briefly whether there are there propositional formulas  $F$  and  $G$  such that:

$$(P_0 \& P_1) \vee F \sim P_0 \vee P_1,$$

$$(P_0 \vee P_1) \vee G \sim P_0 \& P_1$$

If  $F$  is the formula  $P_0 \vee P_1$ , then the former equivalence holds.

There is no propositional formula  $G$  satisfying the latter equivalence. To see this consider  $P_0 = 0$  and  $P_1 = 1$ . Then the left hand side is always 1 whereas the right hand side is always 0.

**Problem 8.** Do the the following equations (where  $a$  and  $b$  range over  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ ) define functions  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  to  $\mathbb{Q}^+$ ? Justify your answers.

$$f\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = a + b,$$

$$g\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \frac{2a^2 + ab + b^2}{2b^2}.$$

The former equation does not define a function because  $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{2}{4}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  but

$$f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 1 + 2 \neq 2 + 4 = f\left(\frac{2}{4}\right).$$

We can rewrite the latter equation as follows:

$$g\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) + \frac{1}{2}$$

Changing  $\frac{a}{b}$  with  $\frac{c}{d}$  (where  $ad = bc$ ) has no effect on the value of the right hand side. Hence  $g$  defines a function from  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  to  $\mathbb{Q}^+$ . Indeed we have  $g(x) = x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}^+$