

# MATH 420 “Point Set Topology”

## Set #6 SOLUTIONS

### Section 24:

**1: (a)** Before starting, let us note that  $(0, 1) - \{a\}$  is always disconnected if  $0 < a < 1$ . This is because  $(0, 1) - \{a\} = (0, a) \cup (a, 1)$  and the sets  $(0, a)$  and  $(a, 1)$  form a separation of  $(0, 1) - \{a\}$ .

Now if  $(0, 1]$  were homeomorphic to  $(0, 1)$  under homeomorphism

$$f : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1),$$

then by removing the point 1 from the domain we would get a homeomorphism  $(0, 1] - \{1\} = (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1) - \{f(1)\}$ . However, this is impossible since  $(0, 1]$  is connected and  $(0, 1) - \{f(1)\}$  is disconnected. Thus, we see  $(0, 1]$  is not homeomorphic to  $(0, 1)$ .

If  $[0, 1]$  were homeomorphic to  $(0, 1)$  under homeomorphism  $g$ , then

$$[0, 1] - \{1\} = [0, 1)$$

would be homeomorphic to  $(0, 1) - \{g(1)\}$ . This is again impossible since  $[0, 1)$  is connected while  $(0, 1) - \{g(1)\}$  is not connected.

If  $[0, 1]$  were homeomorphic to  $(0, 1]$  under homeomorphism  $h$ , then

$$[0, 1] - \{0, 1\} = (0, 1)$$

would be homeomorphic to  $(0, 1] - \{h(0), h(1)\}$ . This is impossible since  $(0, 1]$  is connected but  $(0, 1] - \{h(0), h(1)\}$  is disconnected. (Note since  $h$  is bijective,  $h(0)$  not equal to  $h(1)$  and it is easy to check removing two points from  $(0, 1]$  always leaves a disconnected space.)

Thus,  $(0, 1)$ ,  $(0, 1]$  and  $[0, 1]$  all have different homeomorphism types.

**(b)** Note  $i : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $i(t) = t$  is an obvious embedding. (It is a homeomorphism onto its image.) Also  $j : [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1)$  given by  $j(t) = 0.5t + 0.2$  maps  $[0, 1]$  homeomorphically to the subspace  $[0.2, 0.7]$  of  $(0, 1)$ , and hence is an embedding of  $[0, 1]$  into  $(0, 1)$ . Thus, there are embeddings of  $[0, 1]$  into  $(0, 1)$  and  $(0, 1)$  into  $[0, 1]$  even though  $[0, 1]$  is not homeomorphic to  $(0, 1)$  by part (a).

**(c)** Fix  $n > 1$ . If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  were a homeomorphism, then it would induce a homeomorphism from  $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n - \{f(0)\}$ . However, this is impossible

since  $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  is clearly disconnected while  $\mathbb{R}^n - \{f(0)\}$  is path connected, and so connected. Thus, there is no homeomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  when  $n > 1$ .

**2:**  $f : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a continuous map. Let  $g : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $g(x) = f(x) - f(-x)$ . [Note if  $x \in S^1$ , so is  $-x$  so this makes sense.] Now,  $g$  is continuous since  $f$  was. Furthermore,

$$g(-x) = f(-x) - f(-(-x)) = f(-x) - f(x) = -[f(x) - f(-x)] = -g(x).$$

Thus, if there is  $x$  such that  $g(x) > 0$ , then  $g(-x) < 0$  and vice versa. Thus, there are two cases:

**Case(1):**  $g(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in S^1$ , in which case  $f(x) = f(-x)$  for all  $x \in S^1$  and we are done!

**Case(2):** There is  $x \in S^1$  such that  $g(x) > 0$  and  $g(-x) < 0$ . However,  $g : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $S^1$  is connected so the intermediate value theorem guarantees a  $y$  in  $S^1$  such that  $g(y) = 0$ . For this  $y$ , we have  $f(y) = f(-y)$  [From definition of  $g$ ], and so we are done here too.

**3:** Assume  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous. We want to show there exists  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $f(x) = x$ . If  $f(0) = 0$  or  $f(1) = 1$  then we can use  $x = 0$  or  $1$ , respectively. So we can assume  $f(0) > 0$  and  $f(1) < 1$ . Now, define  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $g(x) = f(x) - x$ . Note that  $g$  is continuous as  $f$  is continuous, and that  $g(0) = f(0) - 0 > 0$  and  $g(1) = f(1) - 1 < 0$ . Thus, by the fact that  $[0, 1]$  is connected and the intermediate value theorem, we see there exists  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $g(x) = 0$ . Thus,  $f(x) - x = 0$  or  $f(x) = x$  as desired.

**9:** Let  $A$  be a countable set and consider  $X = \mathbb{R}^2 - A$ . We want to show that  $X$  is path connected. So take  $b$  and  $c$  in  $X$ . Working in the plane, if  $L$  is the set of lines passing through  $b$ , then  $L$  is bijective to  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  since we can correspond the lines bijectively with their slope (note distinct lines have different slopes, and there is a line through  $b$  of any given slope in  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ ). Thus, there are uncountably many lines passing through  $b$ . Let  $S$  be the subset of these lines which intersect the set  $A$ . Note we can make a map  $i : S \rightarrow A$ , which sends each of these lines to a choice of a point in  $A$  on that line. Notice  $i$  is injective since no point of  $A$  lies on more than one such line. Thus,  $S$  is bijective to a subset of  $A$ , and hence is countable. Thus, only countably many of these lines will intersect the set  $A$ . Since there were uncountably many lines through  $b$ , we conclude that there are uncountably many lines through  $b$ , which do not intersect the set  $A$ . Choose one of these lines  $L_1$ . (Note if  $c$  happened to lie on  $L_1$ , then we could use  $L_1$  as a path from  $b$  to  $c$  in  $X$  but we will assume that we are not so lucky and continue.) Doing a similar analysis at the point  $c$ , we conclude that there are uncountably many lines through  $c$  which do not intersect  $A$ . Out of these only 1 is parallel to  $L_1$ , so we can find

$L_2$  a line through  $c$ , which does not intersect  $A$  and which is NOT parallel to  $L_1$ . Thus,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  will meet at a point  $d$ . Then the line segment along  $L_1$  from  $b$  to  $d$  followed by the line segment along  $L_2$  from  $d$  to  $c$  forms continuous path in  $X$  from  $b$  to  $c$ . Thus, we have shown that any two points in  $X$  can be joined by a continuous path in  $X$ , and so  $X$  is path connected.