

First name: _____

Last name: Sol'n _____

Student ID: _____

Signature: _____

Read before you start:

- There are four questions.
- The examination is closed-book.
- No computer/calculator is allowed.
- The duration of the examination is 100 minutes.
- Besides correctness, the CLARITY of your presentation will also be graded.

Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total

For the scalar system $\dot{x} = -x + u$ starting from the initial condition $x(0) = 1$ the below cost is to be minimized.

$$J = x(1)^2 + \int_0^1 u^2 dt.$$

Find $x^*(1)$, the final value of the optimal trajectory.

Hamiltonian: $H = u^2 + p(-x + u)$

state eqn: $\dot{x} = -x + u$ (1)

costate eqn: $\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = p$ (2)

opt. con: $0 = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = 2u + p \Rightarrow u = -\frac{1}{2}p$ (3)

brd. cond.: $\underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} - p \right]}_0 \delta x_f + \underbrace{\left[H - p \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right]}_0 \delta t_f = 0$

$\Rightarrow p(1) = 2x(1)$ (4)

(2) & (4) $\Rightarrow p(t) = 2x(t)e^{t-1}$ (5)

(1), (3), (5) $\Rightarrow \dot{x} = -x - \frac{1}{2} [2x(t)e^{t-1}]$

Hence, $\dot{x} = -x - \frac{x(t)}{e} e^t$ with $x(0) = 1$ (6)

(6) implies $x(t) = c_1 e^{-t} + c_2 e^t$

$c_1, c_2 = ?$

(6) $\Rightarrow -c_1 e^{-t} + c_2 e^t = -[c_1 e^{-t} + c_2 e^t] - \frac{x(t)}{e} e^t$

$\Rightarrow 2c_2 = -\frac{x(1)}{e} \Rightarrow c_2 = -\frac{x(1)}{2e}$

Also, $c_1 e^{-t} + c_2 e^t \Big|_{t=0} = 1 \Rightarrow c_1 = 1 - c_2 = 1 + \frac{x(1)}{2e}$

Therefore,

$x(t) = \left[1 + \frac{x(1)}{2e} \right] e^{-t} - \frac{x(1)}{2e} e^t$ (7)

Evaluating (7) at $t=1$ we have

$x(1) = \left[1 + \frac{x(1)}{2e} \right] \frac{1}{e} - \frac{x(1)}{2e} e$

yielding

$$\boxed{x(1) = \frac{2e}{3e^2 - 1}}$$

Given $t_0, t_f, x(t_0), x(t_f)$, the cost

$$J = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} x \sqrt{1+u^2} dt$$

is to be minimized for the scalar system $\dot{x} = u$. Assuming the boundary conditions are satisfied, determine whether each of the below candidates could be an optimal trajectory. Hint: $\dot{H}^* = ?$

(a) $x^*(t) = \cos t + \sin t$.

(b) $x^*(t) = e^{t/2} + e^{-t/2}$.

$$H = x \sqrt{1+u^2} + p u = \text{const.} \quad (\dot{H} = 0) \quad (1)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = \frac{x u}{\sqrt{1+u^2}} + p \Rightarrow p = - \frac{x u}{\sqrt{1+u^2}} \quad (2)$$

$$(1) \& (2) \Rightarrow x \sqrt{1+u^2} - \frac{x u^2}{\sqrt{1+u^2}} = \text{const.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{const.} = \frac{x(1+u^2) - x u^2}{\sqrt{1+u^2}} = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+u^2}}$$

Hence, any optimal trajectory should satisfy

$$\frac{x^2}{1+x'^2} = \text{const.} \quad (*)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } \frac{x^2}{1+x'^2} &= \frac{(\cos t + \sin t)^2}{1 + (-\sin t + \cos t)^2} \\ &= \frac{1 + 2\cos t \sin t}{2 - 2\cos t \sin t} \neq \text{const.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x(t) = \cos t + \sin t$ CANNOT be optimal.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b) } \frac{x^2}{1+x'^2} &= \frac{(e^{t/2} + e^{-t/2})^2}{1 + \left(\frac{1}{2}e^{t/2} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-t/2}\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{e^t + e^{-t} + 2}{1 + \frac{1}{4}(e^t + e^{-t} - 2)} = 4 \text{ (const.)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x(t) = e^{t/2} + e^{-t/2}$ CAN be optimal.

Consider the collection of systems

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= Ax + B_i u \\ y &= C_j x \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_1 = [1 \ 1], \quad C_2 = [1 \ 0].$$

The cost to be minimized is

$$J = \int_0^{\infty} (y^2 + u^2) dt.$$

- (a) For which of the four systems (C_1, A, B_1) , (C_1, A, B_2) , (C_2, A, B_1) , (C_2, A, B_2) can you claim that the optimal stabilizing Riccati solution P exists? How about its positive definiteness?
- (b) Solve the optimal control problem for the system (C_1, A, B_1) . That is, compute the optimal control and minimum value of the cost. *Hint: $\dot{y} = ?$*

$$|sI - A| = \begin{vmatrix} s+1 & -1 \\ -1 & s+1 \end{vmatrix} = (s+1)^2 - 1 = s(s+2)$$

\Rightarrow eigenvalues of A : $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = -2$

Corresponding eigenvectors (of both A & A^T)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} v_1 = 0 \Rightarrow v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} v_2 = 0 \Rightarrow v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(A, B_1)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} B_1^T v_1 \neq 0 \\ B_1^T v_2 \neq 0 \end{array} \right\} (A, B_1) \text{ controllable}$$

(A, B_2)

$$B_2^T v_1 = 0 \Rightarrow (A, B_2) \text{ NOT stabilizable}$$

(C_1, A)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} C_1 v_1 \neq 0 \\ C_1 v_2 = 0 \end{array} \right\} (C_1, A) \text{ detectable, but NOT observable}$$

(C_2, A)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} C_2 v_1 \neq 0 \\ C_2 v_2 \neq 0 \end{array} \right\} (C_2, A) \text{ observable}$$

a) for stabilizing solution P we need stabilizability & detectability. for pos. def. we need (in addition) observability. Hence,

$$\boxed{P \text{ exists for } (C_1, A, B_1) \text{ \& } (C_2, A, B_1)}$$

$$P \text{ pos. def. for } (C_2, A, B_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b) } \dot{y} &= C_1 \dot{x} = C_1 (Ax + B_1 u) \\ &= [1 \ 1] \left(\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u \right) \\ &= u \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow J = \int_0^{\infty} (y^2 + u^2) dt \quad \& \quad \dot{y} = u$$

$$\text{ARE: } \partial p + p\partial + q - \frac{pb\partial p}{r} = 0 \quad (\partial = 0, b=1, q=r=1)$$

$$\Rightarrow p^2 = 1 \Rightarrow p = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow u^*(t) = -\frac{b p}{r} y(t) \Rightarrow \boxed{u^*(t) = -y(t)}$$

$$J_{\min} = p y(0)^2 \Rightarrow \boxed{J_{\min} = y(0)^2}$$

Consider the linear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, and the pair (A, B) is stabilizable. Let the symmetric matrix $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfy

$$\min_{u(\cdot)} \int_0^{\infty} (x^T x + u^T u) dt = x(0)^T P x(0)$$

for all initial conditions $x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(a) Write the Riccati equation satisfied by P .

(b) Show that the feedback law $u = -\frac{1}{2}B^T P x$ exponentially stabilizes the system.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } & A^T P + PA + Q - P B R^{-1} B^T P = 0 \\ & \Rightarrow \boxed{A^T P + PA + I - P B B^T P = 0} \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} Q = I, R = I \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{b) Closed-loop system : } \dot{x} = \underbrace{\left[A - \frac{1}{2} B B^T P \right]}_H x$$

$$\begin{aligned} H^T P + P H &= \left[A - \frac{1}{2} B B^T P \right]^T P + P \left[A - \frac{1}{2} B B^T P \right] \\ &= A^T P + PA - P B B^T P \\ &= -I \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow H^T P + P H = -I \quad (*)$$

Since the pair (Q, A) is observable P is pos. def. Hence $(*)$ is a Lyapunov equation. That is, H is Hurwitz. \square