

## ON FINITE GROUPS ADMITTING A SPECIAL NONCOPRIME ACTION

GÜLİN ERCAN

(Communicated by Jonathan I. Hall)

ABSTRACT. An important result of Turull (1984) is the following:

*Let  $GA$  be a finite solvable group,  $G \triangleleft GA$  and  $(|G|, |A|) = 1$ . Then  $f(G) \leq f(C_G(A)) + 2\ell(A)$ , where  $f$  denotes the Fitting height and  $\ell$  denotes the composition length.*

The purpose of this work is to give a treatment of the minimal configuration in this framework with additional conditions, yet without the coprimeness condition.

Here we will prove (see Theorem 2) the following:

*Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group and let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of  $G$  of order  $p$  for some prime  $p$ . Assume that the orders of elements of  $H = G\langle\alpha\rangle$  lying outside of  $G$  are not divisible by  $p^2$ . If  $C_S(x)$  is nilpotent for any  $x \in H - G$  of order  $p$  and for any  $x$ -invariant section  $S$  of  $G$ , then  $f(G) \leq 3$ . Furthermore, if the nilpotency condition is replaced by abelianness, then  $f(G) \leq 2$ .*

An immediate consequence of this theorem is a particular case of Turull's result (see also [1] and [4]):

*Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group and let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of  $G$  of order  $p$  for some prime  $p$  where  $(|G|, |A|) = 1$ . If  $C_G(\alpha)$  is nilpotent, then  $f(G) \leq 3$ . Furthermore if  $C_G(\alpha)$  is abelian, then  $f(G) \leq 2$ .*

Although our main purpose is the proof of Theorem 2, and Theorem 1 below makes its appearance as an auxiliary, it should be pointed out that Theorem 1 is of independent interest, too. Theorem 1 is, in its turn, a generalization of the following Lemma.

**Lemma** ([3, Lemma 1]). *Let  $G = ST$  be a group where  $S \triangleleft G$ ,  $S$  is a  $p$ -group and  $T$  is a  $t$ -group for distinct primes  $p$  and  $t$ , and let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of  $G$  of order  $p^n$  which leaves  $T$  invariant. Assume that  $C_{T/T_0}(z) = 1$ , where  $T_0 = C_T(S)$  and  $z = \alpha^{p^{n-1}}$ . Let  $V$  be a  $kG\langle\alpha\rangle$ -module on which  $S$  acts faithfully and  $k$  is a field of characteristic different from  $p$ . If  $[C_V(z), C_S(z)] = 1$ , then  $[S, T] = 1$ .*

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\langle\alpha\rangle$  be a cyclic group of order  $p^n$  for some prime  $p$ , and let  $G$  be a group acted on by  $\langle\alpha\rangle$ . Suppose that  $S \triangleleft G\langle\alpha\rangle$  is an  $s$ -group and  $T$  is an  $\langle\alpha\rangle$ -invariant  $t$ -subgroup of  $G$  for distinct primes  $s$  and  $t$ , such that  $[S, T] \neq 1$ . Let  $V$  be a  $kG\langle\alpha\rangle$ -module on which  $S$  acts faithfully, where  $k$  is a field of characteristic*

---

Received by the editors May 17, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D10, 20F28.

*Key words and phrases.* Noncoprime action, fitting height.

©2005 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

not dividing  $s$ . Let  $z = \alpha^{p^{n-1}}$ . Then either  $[C_V(z), C_S(z)] \neq 1$   
 or  $[C_V(z), C_T(z)] \neq 1$   
 or  $[C_S(x), C_{T/T_0}(x)] \neq 1$  for some  $\bar{x} \in (T/T_0)\langle\alpha\rangle - (T/T_0)$  of order  $p$ ,  
 where  $T_0 = C_T(S)$ .

*Proof.* Set  $H = G\langle\alpha\rangle$  and use induction on  $|H| + \dim_k V$ . We may assume that  $n = 1$  and  $G = ST$ .

(1)  $\Phi(T/T_0) = 1$  and  $\langle\alpha\rangle$  acts irreducibly on  $T/T_0$ .

This is an immediate consequence of induction argument applied to  $ST_1\langle\alpha\rangle$  on  $V$  for a minimal  $\langle\alpha\rangle$ -invariant subgroup  $T_1/T_0$  of  $T/T_0$ .

(2)  $t \neq p$ .

Assume the contrary. Then  $T/T_0$  is centralized by any  $1 \neq \bar{x} \in (T/T_0)\langle\alpha\rangle - (T/T_0)$ . Let  $U$  be an irreducible  $T\langle\alpha\rangle$ -submodule of  $S/\Phi(S)$  on which  $T$  acts nontrivially, and let  $\bar{t} \in T/T_0$  such that  $[U, \bar{t}] \neq 1$ . Then  $C_U(\bar{t}) = 1$ . This yields a contradiction as  $U = \langle C_U(a) \mid 1 \neq a \in \langle \bar{t}, \alpha \rangle \rangle$  by ([6, 5.3.16]) and  $[C_U(\bar{x}), T/T_0] = 1$  for any  $1 \neq \bar{x} \in \langle \bar{t}, \alpha \rangle - \langle \bar{t} \rangle$ .

(3)  $S/\Phi(S)$  is an irreducible  $T\langle\alpha\rangle$ -module with  $[S, T] = S$ ,  $[\Phi(S), T] = 1$  and  $S$  is special.

Let  $S_1$  be a normal subgroup of  $H$  properly contained in  $S$  on which  $T$  acts nontrivially. Put  $T_1 = C_T(S_1)$ . By induction, there exists  $\bar{x} \in (T/T_1)\langle\alpha\rangle - (T/T_1)$  such that  $[C_{S_1}(x), C_{T/T_1}(x)] \neq 1$ . As  $t \neq p$ , this yields that  $[C_{S_1}(x), C_{T/T_0}(x)] \neq 1$  which is not the case. Thus  $T\langle\alpha\rangle$  acts irreducibly on  $S/\Phi(S)$ ,  $[S, T] = S$ ,  $[\Phi(S), T] = 1$  and  $S$  is special.

(4)  $[T, \alpha] = 1$ .

Assume the contrary. Then  $C_{T/T_0}(\alpha) = 1$  and so  $C_{S/\Phi(S)}(\alpha) \neq 1$ . Now  $s \neq p$ , because otherwise  $[C_V(\alpha), C_S(\alpha)] \neq 1$  by the Lemma.

Let  $M$  be an irreducible  $ST\langle\alpha\rangle$ -submodule of  $V$  on which  $S$  acts nontrivially. Then  $[M, S] = M$  and so  $[M, T] \neq 1$ . Set  $\bar{S} = S/C_S(M)$ . By Clifford's theorem applied to  $\bar{S}T$  on  $M$ , we have that  $M = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_r$ , where the  $W_i$ 's are homogeneous  $\bar{S}T$ -modules. Here  $N_{\langle\alpha\rangle}(W_1) = N_{\langle\alpha\rangle}(W_i)$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and so either  $r = 1$  or  $r = p$ . If the latter holds, then  $[W_i, C_{\bar{S}}(\alpha)] = 1$  for each  $i$ , because  $[C_M(\alpha), C_S(\alpha)] = 1$  and  $s \neq p$ . It follows that  $C_S(\alpha) \leq C_S(M)$  and so  $C_S(\alpha) \leq \Phi(S)$  which is not the case. Thus  $M$  is a homogeneous  $\bar{S}T$ -module, i.e.  $M = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_i$  with  $M_i \cong M_1$  irreducible  $\bar{S}T$ -modules.

If  $\bar{S}$  is nonabelian, then  $[\Phi(\bar{S}), \alpha] = 1$  and so  $C_M(\alpha) \leq C_M(\Phi(S)) = 1$ . This shows that  $\text{char } k \neq p$ . Observe that  $[\bar{S}, \alpha] \neq 1$ , because otherwise  $[\bar{S}, T] = 1$  by the three subgroup lemma. By [5] applied to the action of both  $[\bar{S}, \alpha]\langle\alpha\rangle$  and  $T\langle\alpha\rangle$  on  $M$ , we conclude that  $s = 2 = t$ , which is impossible.

Thus  $\bar{S}$  is abelian. The number of homogeneous components of  $M_1|_{\bar{S}}$  is a power of  $t$  and so the number of homogeneous components of  $M|_{\bar{S}}$  is also a power of  $t$ . Since  $t \neq p$ ,  $\alpha$  fixes a homogeneous component  $W$  of  $M|_{\bar{S}}$ . If  $U$  is a homogeneous component of  $M|_{\bar{S}}$  which is  $\alpha$ -invariant and different from  $W$ , then  $W = U^a$  for some  $a \in T\langle\alpha\rangle$ . Now  $[a, \alpha] \in N_T(W)$  and so  $1 \neq C_{T/N_T(W)}(\alpha) \cong C_{(T/T_0)/(N_T(W)/T_0)}(\alpha)$ , i.e.  $C_{T/T_0}(\alpha) \neq 1$ , which is not the case. Thus  $\alpha$  fixes exactly one homogeneous component  $W$  of  $M|_{\bar{S}}$ . Observe that either  $N_T(W) = T$  or  $N_T(W) \leq T_0$ . If the first holds, then  $[[\bar{S}, T], W] = [\bar{S}, W] = 1$  implying that  $C_M(\bar{S}) \neq 1$ , which is not the case. Hence  $N_T(W) \leq T_0$ . Also note that  $[\bar{S}, \alpha] \neq 1$ , because otherwise  $[\bar{S}, T] = 1$  by the three subgroup lemma. Now  $[[\bar{S}, \alpha], W] = 1$ , and so there exists

a homogeneous component  $U$  of  $M|_{\overline{S}}$  such that  $U \neq U^\alpha$ . Here note that

$$C_{\overline{S}}(\alpha) \leq \text{Ker}(\overline{S} \text{ on } U),$$

as  $[C_U(\alpha), C_S(\alpha)] = 1$ , and so

$$C_{\overline{S}}(\alpha) \cap \text{Ker}(\overline{S} \text{ on } W) \leq \text{Ker}(\overline{S} \text{ on } M) = 1.$$

Then  $C_{\overline{S}}(\alpha) \cap \langle C_{\overline{S}}(\alpha)^{\bar{t}} | 1 \neq \bar{t} \in \overline{T} \rangle = 1$ , where  $\overline{T} = T/C_T(M)$  and so  $C_{\overline{S}}(\alpha)^{\overline{x}} \cap \langle C_{\overline{S}}(\alpha)^{\bar{t}} | \bar{x} \neq \bar{t} \rangle = 1$ . Now  $\sum_{\bar{t} \in \overline{T}} C_{\overline{S}}(\alpha)^{\bar{t}} = \bigoplus_{\bar{t} \in \overline{T}} C_{\overline{S}}(\alpha)^{\bar{t}} = \overline{S}$  since  $\overline{S}$  is an irreducible  $T\langle\alpha\rangle$ -module. It follows that  $|\overline{S}| = |C_{\overline{S}}(\alpha)|^{|\overline{T}|}$ . On the other hand  $[\overline{S}, [\overline{T}/\overline{T}_0, \alpha]] = \overline{S}$  and so  $|\overline{S}| = |C_{\overline{S}}(\alpha)|^p$  by Lemma 4.5 in [7]. As  $t \neq p$ , we get a contradiction. Therefore  $[T/T_0, \alpha] = 1$ , i.e.  $C_T(\alpha)T_0 = T$ . By induction we see that  $C_T(\alpha) = T$ .

(5)  $[S, \alpha] = S$  and so  $s \neq p$ .

$[S, \alpha]$  is either trivial or the whole of  $S$ . If it is trivial, then  $[S, T] = 1$  as  $[C_S(\alpha), C_{T/T_0}(\alpha)] = 1$ , a contradiction.

(6)  $S$  is abelian.

Assume the contrary. Then  $1 \neq \Phi(S) = Z(S)$ . Let  $M$  be an irreducible  $ST\langle\alpha\rangle$ -submodule of  $V$  on which  $\Phi(S)$  acts nontrivially. Set  $\overline{S} = S/C_S(M)$ . We consider  $M|_{\overline{S}T} = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_r$ , where  $W_i$ 's are homogeneous  $\overline{S}T$ -components of  $M$ . If  $r = p$ , then  $[W_i, T] = 1$  for each  $i$ , as  $[C_M(\alpha), T] = 1$ , and so  $[M, T] = 1$ , which is not the case. Then  $r = 1$ . It follows that  $[\Phi(\overline{S}), \alpha] = 1$  implying that  $C_M(\alpha) \leq C_M(\Phi(\overline{S})) = 1$ . If  $\Phi(\overline{S})$  is not cyclic, then there exists  $1 \neq a \in \Phi(\overline{S})$  such that  $C_M(a) \neq 1$ , by ([6, 5.3.16]), implying that  $C_{\overline{S}}(M) \neq 1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\Phi(\overline{S})$  is cyclic and so  $\overline{S}$  is extraspecial, where  $|\overline{S}| = 2^{2n+1}$  and  $p = 2^n + 1$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , by [5].

By [6, 5.5.2], the number of distinct cyclic subgroups of order 4 in  $\overline{S}$  is

$$\frac{1}{2}(2^{2n} \mp (-2)^n).$$

Since each cyclic group of order 4 contains two elements of order 4, and distinct cyclic subgroups of order 4 have no element of order 4 in common, there are  $2^{2n} \mp (-2)^n = 2^n(2^n \mp 1)$  elements of order 4 in  $\overline{S}$ . As  $T\langle\alpha\rangle$  acts irreducibly on  $\overline{S}/\Phi(\overline{S})$  and  $[\overline{S}, T] = \overline{S}$ , we have  $C_{\overline{S}}(T) \leq \Phi(\overline{S})$ . It follows that  $C_{\overline{S}}(T) = \Phi(\overline{S})$ , since  $[\Phi(\overline{S}), T] = 1$ . Now  $\Phi(\overline{S})$  contains no element of order 4, since it is cyclic of order 2. Thus  $T\langle\alpha\rangle$  permutes the elements of  $\overline{S}$  of order 4, without fixing any, in orbit of length  $|(T\langle\alpha\rangle)/(\Phi(T))| = tp$ . Therefore  $tp$  divides  $2^n(2^n \pm 1)$ . But as  $t \neq s = 2$  and  $p = 2^n + 1$ ,  $tp$  divides  $2^n + 1 = p$  which yields that  $t = 1$ , a contradiction.

(7) Finally, let  $M$  be an irreducible  $ST\langle\alpha\rangle$ -submodule of  $V$  on which  $S$  acts nontrivially. Set  $\overline{S} = S/C_S(M)$ . Let  $\Omega = \{W_1, \dots, W_r\}$  be the set of all homogeneous  $\overline{S}$ -components of  $M$ . Since  $[S, \alpha] = S$ , no  $W_i$  is  $\alpha$ -invariant. Because otherwise as  $[S, W_i] = 1$  for each  $i$ , we have  $C_M(S) \neq 1$ , a contradiction.

Let  $\mathcal{O} = \{W, W^\alpha, \dots, W^{\alpha^{p-1}}\}$  be an  $\alpha$ -orbit. Set  $\overline{T} = T/C_T(M)$  and  $X = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} W^{\alpha^i}$ . As  $[C_X(\alpha), T\langle\alpha\rangle] = 1$ , we have  $[W, N_{T\langle\alpha\rangle}(W)] = 1$ . Let  $t \in T$ . If  $Y = X^t$ , then  $C_Y(\alpha) = C_X(\alpha)^t = C_X(\alpha)$  and so  $X \cap Y \neq 0$ , i.e.  $X = Y$ . Hence  $T$  acts on  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O} = \Omega$ . This gives that  $p = |\Omega| = |T\langle\alpha\rangle : N_{T\langle\alpha\rangle}(W)|$ . Then  $N_{T\langle\alpha\rangle}(W) = T$  because  $T$  is the unique subgroup of  $T\langle\alpha\rangle$  of index  $p$ . This yields that  $[W, T] = 1$  and so  $[M, T] = 1$ , a contradiction which completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we have

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group and let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of  $G$  of order  $p$  for some prime  $p$ . Assume that the orders of elements of  $H = G\langle\alpha\rangle$  lying outside  $G$  are not divisible by  $p^2$ . If  $C_S(x)$  is nilpotent for any  $x \in H - G$  of order  $p$  and for any  $x$ -invariant section  $S$  of  $G$ , then  $f(G)$  is at most 3. Furthermore, if the nilpotency condition is replaced by abelianness, then  $f(G) \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H = G\langle\alpha\rangle$  be a minimal counterexample to the theorem. We may assume that  $f(G) = 4$ . Then by Lemma 1 in [2] there exist subgroups  $C_i$  of  $G$  and subgroups  $D_i \triangleleft C_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and an element  $x \in H - G$  of order  $p$  such that the following are satisfied:

(i)  $C_i$  is a  $p_i$ -subgroup for some prime  $p_i$ , i.e.  $\pi(C_i) = \{p_i\}$  for any  $i$  and  $p_i \neq p_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

(ii)  $C_i$  and  $D_i$  are  $(\prod_{j>i} C_j)\langle\alpha\rangle$ -invariant for any  $i$ .

(iii)  $\overline{C}_i = C_i/D_i$  is a special group on the Frattini factor group of which  $(\prod_{j>i} C_j)\langle\alpha\rangle$

acts irreducibly and  $C_{i+1}$  acts trivially on  $\Phi(\overline{C}_i)$  for any  $i$ .

(iv)  $[C_i, C_{i+1}] = C_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

(v)  $C_{C_{i+1}}(\overline{C}_i/\Phi(\overline{C}_i)) = C_{C_{i+1}}(\overline{C}_i)$  is contained in  $\Phi(C_{i+1} \bmod D_{i+1})$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

(vi)  $[C_j, C_i]$  is not contained in  $\Phi(C_j \bmod D_j)$  for any  $i = 2, 3, 4$  and any  $1 \leq j < i$ .

Put  $K = C_1C_2C_3C_4$ . Now  $K\langle x \rangle$  satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem.

Applying Theorem 1 to the action of  $\overline{C}_3C_4\langle x \rangle$  on the Frattini factor group  $\tilde{C}_2$  of  $\overline{C}_2$  we see that  $[C_{\tilde{C}_2}(x), C_{C_4}(x)] \neq 1$  with the requirement  $\pi(C_2) = \pi(C_4)$ . Also applying Theorem 1 to the action of  $\overline{C}_2C_3\langle x \rangle$  on  $C_1$  we see that  $[C_{C_1}(x), C_{C_3}(x)] \neq 1$  with the requirement  $\pi(C_1) = \pi(C_3)$ . Now  $D_4 = C_{C_4}(\overline{C}_2)$  and so  $C_{C_4}(x) \not\leq D_4$ , i.e.  $[\overline{C}_4, x] = 1$ . This forces that  $C_{\overline{C}_3}(x) \leq \Phi(\overline{C}_3)$ , because otherwise  $[\overline{C}_3\overline{C}_4, x] = 1$ , which is not the case. Then  $C_{\overline{C}_3}(x) \leq Z(\overline{C}_3C_4\langle x \rangle)$  and so  $C_{\tilde{C}_2}(C_{\overline{C}_3}(x))$  is either trivial or  $\tilde{C}_2$ . If it is trivial, then  $C_{\tilde{C}_2}(x) = 1$ , which is not the case. Hence  $C_{\overline{C}_3}(x) = 1$ , i.e.  $C_{C_3}(x) \leq D_3 = C_{C_3}(C_1)$  as  $\pi(C_1) = \pi(C_3)$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof of the first claim.

The last claim can be easily shown by an application of Theorem 1 to  $C_1C_2C_3\langle x \rangle$ , where  $C_i$  are subgroups of  $H$  and  $D_i \triangleleft C_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , satisfying (i)–(vi).  $\square$

**Corollary.** *Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group and let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of  $G$  of order  $p$  for some prime  $p$  where  $(|G|, |\alpha|) = 1$ . If  $C_G(\alpha)$  is nilpotent, then  $f(G) \leq 3$ . Furthermore if  $C_G(\alpha)$  is abelian, then  $f(G) \leq 2$ .*

#### REFERENCES

1. Asar, A.O.: Automorphism of prime order of soluble groups whose subgroups of fixed points are nilpotent. *Journal of Algebra* 88, 178-189 (1984). MR0741938 (85k:20069)
2. Ercan G., Güloğlu, İ.: On the Fitting length of  $H_n(G)$ . *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 89 (1993). MR1229051 (94f:20035)
3. Ercan, G., Güloğlu, İ.: On finite groups admitting a fixed point free automorphism of order  $pqr$ , *J. Group Theory* 7 (2004), no. 4, 437–446. MR2080444
4. Feldman, A.: Fitting height of soluble groups admitting an automorphism of prime order with abelian fixed point subgroup, *Journal of Algebra* 68, 97-108 (1981). MR0604296 (83b:20021)
5. Gagola, S., Jr.: Solvable groups admitting an almost fixed point free automorphism of prime order. *Illinois J. Math.* 22, 191-207 (1978). MR0473007 (57:12686)

6. Gorenstein, D.: *Finite Groups*, New York (1968). MR0231903 (38:229)
7. Hartley, B., Turau, V.: Finite solvable groups admitting an automorphism of prime power order with few fixed points. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 431-441 (1987). MR0906617 (88i:20041)
8. Turull, A.: Fitting height of groups and of fixed points. *Journal of Algebra* 86, 555-566 (1984). MR0732266 (85i:20021)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, 06531, ANKARA,  
TURKEY