Money, Medicine and Motion a symmetric approach

PGL Leach

School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences Howard College, University of KwaZulu-Natal Durban 4041, Republic of South Africa

 $\label{eq:substitute} \mbox{in conjunction with} \\ \mbox{S Moyo, V Naicker, K Andriopoulos and AN Yanna$ $copoulos}$

> Department of Physics METU, Ankara 18:11:2004

Supported by TUBITAK through a NATO-D grant

1 Motion

Noether symmetries for a classical Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\dot{x} - V(t, x). \tag{1.1}$$

$$V \quad no \quad sym \quad algebra$$

$$V(t, x) \quad 0 \quad --$$

$$V(x) \quad 1 \quad A_1$$

$$\omega^2 x^2 + \frac{h^2}{x^2} \quad 3 \quad sl(2, R)$$

$$\omega^2 x^2 \quad 5 \quad sl(2, R) \oplus_s 2A_1$$

$$\mu^2 x \quad 5 \quad sl(2, R) \oplus_s 2A_1$$

$$\mu^2 \quad 5 \quad sl(2, R) \oplus_s 2A_1$$

$$\mu^2 \quad 5 \quad sl(2, R) \oplus_s W.$$

Lie point symmetries of the corresponding evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + V(t, x)u = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

V no sym algebra

$$V(t,x) = 0 + 1 + \infty \qquad A_1 \oplus_s \infty A_1 V(x) = 1 + 1 + \infty \qquad 2A_1 \oplus_s \infty A_1 \omega^2 x^2 + \frac{h^2}{x^2} = 3 + 1 + \infty \qquad \{A_1 \oplus sl(2,R)\} \oplus_s \infty A_1 \mu^2 + \frac{h^2}{x^2} = 3 + 1 + \infty \qquad \{A_1 \oplus sl(2,R)\} \oplus_s \infty A_1 \omega^2 x^2 = 5 + 1 + \infty \qquad \{sl(2,R) \oplus_s W\} \oplus_s \infty A_1 \mu^2 x = 5 + 1 + \infty \qquad \{sl(2,R) \oplus_s W\} \oplus_s \infty A_1 \mu^2 = 5 + 1 + \infty \qquad \{sl(2,R) \oplus_s W\} \oplus_s \infty A_1 \mu^2 = 5 + 1 + \infty \qquad \{sl(2,R) \oplus_s W\} \oplus_s \infty A_1,$$

where W is the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra with

$$[\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2]_{LB} = 0, \ [\Sigma_1, \Sigma_3]_{LB} = 0, \ [\Sigma_2, \Sigma_3]_{LB} = \Sigma_1$$

.

2 Money

2.1 Terminal condition u(T,x) = U

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 x^2 u = 0$$
$$u(T, x) = U.$$

$$\Gamma_{1} = f(t,x)\partial_{u}
\Gamma_{2} = u\partial_{u}
\Gamma_{3} = \partial_{t}
\Gamma_{4} = e^{2\omega t} \left(\partial_{t} + \omega x \partial_{x} + (\omega^{2}x^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\omega)u\partial_{u}\right)
\Gamma_{5} = e^{-2\omega t} \left(\partial_{t} - \omega x \partial_{x} + (\omega^{2}x^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega)u\partial_{u}\right)
\Gamma_{6} = e^{\omega t} \left(\partial_{x} + \omega x u \partial_{u}\right)
\Gamma_{7} = e^{-\omega t} \left(\partial_{x} - \omega x u \partial_{u}\right)$$

$$a_3 + a_4 e^{2\omega T} + a_5 e^{-2\omega T} = 0$$

$$a_2 + a_4 e^{2\omega T} \left(\omega^2 x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\omega\right) + a_5 e^{-2\omega T} \left(\omega^2 x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega\right) = 0,$$

$$a_{3} + a_{4}e^{2\omega T} + a_{5}e^{-2\omega T} = 0$$

$$a_{2} + a_{4}e^{2\omega T} \left(\omega^{2}x^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\omega\right) + a_{5}e^{-2\omega T} \left(\omega^{2}x^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega\right) + a_{6}e^{\omega T} (\omega x) + a_{7}e^{-\omega T} (-\omega x) = 0$$

$$\Sigma_1 = \cosh \omega (t - T) \partial_x + \omega x u \sinh \omega (t - T)$$

$$\Sigma_2 = \sinh 2\omega (t - T)\partial_t + \omega x \cosh 2\omega (t - T)\partial_x + \left(\frac{1}{2}\omega (1 - \cosh 2\omega (t - T)) + \omega^2 x^2 \sinh 2\omega (t - T)\right)$$

Lie Bracket $[\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2]_{LB} = \omega \Sigma_1$.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{0} = \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\cosh \omega(t-T)} = \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\omega x u \sinh \omega(t-T)}$$

$$t \text{ and } u \left[-\frac{1}{2}\omega x^2 \tanh \omega(t-T) \right] \quad u = f(t) \left[\frac{1}{2}\omega x^2 \tanh \omega(t-T) \right]$$

$$u(t,x) = U \cosh^{-1/2} \omega(t-T) \left[\frac{1}{2} \omega x^2 \tanh \omega(t-T) \right]$$

2.2 Application to a mean variance hedging equation

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial t} + a \frac{\partial J}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \nu(y) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

with the terminal condition J(T, y) = 0 $\nu(x) = \mu^2$ for which the symmetries are

$$\Gamma_{1} = f(t,x) \exp[J/b^{2}] \partial_{J}
\Gamma_{2} = \partial_{J}
\Gamma_{3} = \partial_{t}
\Gamma_{4} = t \partial_{t} + \frac{1}{2}(x+at) \partial_{x} + \mu^{2} t \partial_{J}
\Gamma_{5} = t^{2} \partial_{t} + tx \partial_{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left(b^{2}t - 2\mu^{2}tx - (x-at)^{2}\right) \partial_{J}
\Gamma_{6} = \partial_{x}
\Gamma_{7} = t \partial_{x} - (x-at) \partial_{J},$$
(2.2)

where f(t, x) is a solution of

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}b^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + a \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\mu^2}{b^2} f = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

Also $\nu(x) = \mu^2 x$ and $\nu(x) = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 x^2$

$$J = \mu^2 (T - t), \tag{2.4}$$

$$J(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}a\mu^2(t-T)^2 + \frac{1}{6}\mu^4(t-T)^3 - \mu^2(t-T)x$$
 (2.5)

and

$$J(t,x) = a \left[1 - \operatorname{sech}\omega(t-T) \right] + \frac{1}{2\omega} \left(a^2 - \omega^2 x^2 \right) \tanh \omega(t-T) + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \log \cosh \omega(t-T) - \frac{1}{2} a^2 (t-T)$$
(2.6)

2.3 Extension to a time-dependent $\nu(t,x)$

$$\nu(t,x) = \mu^2(t)$$

$$\Gamma_{1} = f(t,x) \exp[J/b^{2}] \partial_{J}$$

$$\Gamma_{2} = \partial_{J}$$

$$\Gamma_{3} = \partial_{x}$$

$$\Gamma_{4} = \partial_{t} - \mu^{2}(t) \partial_{J}$$

$$\Gamma_{5} = t \partial_{x} + (at - x) \partial_{J}$$

$$\Gamma_{6} = 2t \partial_{t} + (at + x) \partial_{x} - 2t \mu^{2}(t) \partial_{J}$$

$$\Gamma_{7} = 2t^{2} \partial_{t} + 2t x \partial_{x} + \left(b^{2}t - 2t^{2}\mu^{2}(t) - (x - at)^{2}\right) \partial_{J},$$

where f(t, x) is a solution of

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}b^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + a \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\mu^2(t)}{b^2} f = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

$$a_4 + 2Ta_6 + 2Ta_7 = 0$$

$$a_2 - \mu^2(T)a_4 + (aT - x)a_5 - 2T\mu^2(T)a_6$$

$$+(2aTx - a^2T^2 + b^2T - x^2 - 2T^2\mu^2(T))a_7 = 0.$$

$$\Sigma_{1} = \partial_{x}$$

$$\Sigma_{2} = 2(t - T) \left(\partial_{t} - \mu^{2}(t)_{J} \right).$$

$$J = \int_{t_{0}}^{T} \mu(s)^{2} ds - \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mu(s)^{2} ds \qquad (2.8)$$

3 Medicine: The Burgess equation

A model of the spread of aggressive brain cancers such as glioblastoma multiforme:

$$\frac{\partial n(r,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial n(r,t)}{\partial r} \right) + pn(r,t) - kn(r,t)$$
 (3.1)

in which n(r,t) is the concentration of tumour cells at location r at time t, D is the diffusion coefficient, ie a measure of the areal speed of the invading glioblastoma cells, p is the assumed constant rate of reproduction of the glioblastoma cells and k the killing rate of the same cells.

$$\tau = 2Dt, \quad \phi(r,\tau) = rn(r,t), \quad w = \frac{k-p}{2D}$$
(3.2)

(3.1) becomes

$$-\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2} + w\phi. \tag{3.3}$$

w can be w(r,t) without affecting (3.3).

Other aspects of the model.

4 Symmetry-based solutions for the Burgess equation

The nontrivial Lie point symmetries of the Burgess equation

$$2\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \rho^2} + 2w\phi = 0$$

are

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_1 &= \partial_\tau \\ &\Gamma_2 &= 2\tau\partial_\tau + \rho\partial_\rho - 2w\rho\phi\partial_\phi \\ &\Gamma_3 &= 2\tau^2\partial_\tau + 2\tau\rho\partial_\rho - \left[2w\tau^2 + \tau + \rho^2\right]\phi\partial_\phi \\ &\Gamma_4 &= \partial_\rho \\ &\Gamma_5 &= \tau\partial_\rho - \rho\phi\partial_\phi. \end{split}$$

We generate solutions as for the time-dependent Schrödinger equation.

The associated Lagrange's system for Γ_4 is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{0} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{1} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{0}$$

with the corresponding characteristics $u = \tau$ and $v = \phi$, thus $\phi = f(\tau)$. Equation (3.3) now gives

$$-\dot{f} = wf \Leftrightarrow f(\tau) = c \exp[-w\tau],$$

that is

$$\phi_0 = \exp[-w\tau]$$
 and $\Sigma_0 = \phi^{-1} \exp[-w\tau]$,

where Σ_0 is the surface in (τ, ρ, ϕ) space of the basis solution. Obviously ϕ_0 is a particular solution of (3.3). The vehicle for the production of further solutions is Σ_0 and the way is to operate the other solution symmetry, Γ_5 , on Σ_0 , ie

$$\Gamma_5 \Sigma_0 = -\rho \phi (-\phi^{-2} \exp[-w\tau]) = \rho \phi^{-1} \exp[-w\tau].$$

Thus

$$\phi_1 = \rho \exp[-w\tau]$$
 and $\Sigma_1 = \rho \phi^{-1} \exp[-w\tau]$.

This procedure results in the construction of an infinite set of particular solutions. We report a few more in order to give a clue for the derivation of the general formula of these symmetries.

$$\phi_{2} = (\tau + \rho^{2}) \exp [-w\tau]$$

$$\phi_{3} = \rho(3\tau + \rho^{2}) \exp [-w\tau]$$

$$\phi_{4} = (3\tau^{2} + 6\tau\rho^{2} + \rho^{4}) \exp [-w\tau]$$

$$\phi_{5} = \rho(15\tau^{2} + 10\tau\rho^{2} + \rho^{4}) \exp [-w\tau]$$

$$\phi_{6} = (15\tau^{3} + 45\tau^{2}\rho^{2} + 15\tau\rho^{4} + \rho^{6}) \exp [-w\tau].$$

In general we have

$$\phi_{2n} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{2^{j} n!}{(2j)!(n-j)!} \rho^{2j} \tau^{n-j}\right) \exp\left[-w\tau\right]$$
(4.1)

for the even solutions and

$$\phi_{2n+1} = \left(\rho \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{2^{j} n!}{(n-j)!(2j+1)!} \rho^{2j} \tau^{n-j}\right) \exp\left[-w\tau\right]$$
(4.2)

for the odd solutions.

What about the reverse procedure?

The associated Lagrange's system for Γ_5 is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{0} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\tau} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{-\rho\phi}$$

so that the two invariants are

$$v = \tau$$
 and $w = \phi \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\rho^2/\tau\right]$.

We write

$$\phi = f(\tau) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2/\tau\right]$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau} = \left(\dot{f} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\rho^2}{\tau^2}f\right) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2/\tau\right]$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \rho^2} = f\left(-\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\rho^2}{\tau^2}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2/\tau\right].$$

Then

$$2\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \rho^2} + 2w\phi = 0$$

becomes

$$2\dot{f} + \frac{\rho^2}{\tau^2} f - \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{\rho^2}{\tau^2} \right) f + 2wf = 0$$

$$\frac{\dot{f}}{f} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} + 2w \right) \implies f = \tau^{-1/2} e^{-w\tau}$$

and so we find the 'ground-state' solution

$$\phi_0 = \tau^{-1/2} \exp\left[-w\tau - \frac{1}{2}\rho^2/\tau\right].$$

We use $\Gamma_4=\partial_{\rho}$ to generate other solutions as before. Some are

$$\phi_{1} = -\rho \tau^{-3/2} \exp \left[-w\tau - \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2}/\tau\right]$$

$$\phi_{2} = \left(\rho^{2} - \tau\right) \tau^{-5/2} \exp \left[-w\tau - \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2}/\tau\right]$$

$$\phi_{3} = -\left(\rho^{3} - 3\rho\tau\right) \tau^{-7/2} \exp \left[-w\tau - \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2}/\tau\right]$$

$$\phi_{4} = \left(\rho^{4} - 6\rho^{2}\tau + 3\tau^{2}\right) \tau^{-9/2} \exp \left[-w\tau - \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2}/\tau\right].$$

These are not solutions to the Burgess equation! To obtain them one introduces the transformation

$$\tau = 2Dt$$
, $\phi(r,\tau) = rn(r,t)$, $w = \frac{k-p}{2D}$.

Some solutions of the Burgess equation

Some of the solutions of the Burgess equation obtained by the transformations of solutions generated from the basis solution of Γ_4 are

$$n_{0} = \frac{1}{r} \exp [(p-k)t]$$

$$n_{1} = \frac{1}{r^{2}} \exp [(p-k)t]$$

$$n_{2} = \left(r + \frac{2Dt}{r}\right) \exp [(p-k)t]$$

$$n_{3} = (6Dt + r^{2}) \exp [(p-k)t]$$

$$\vdots$$

$$n_{2n} = \frac{1}{r} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{2^{j}n!}{(2j)!(n-j)!} r^{2j} (2Dt)^{n-j}\right) \exp [(p-k)t]$$

$$n_{2n+1} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{2^{j}n!}{(2j+1)!(n-j)!} r^{2j} (2Dt)^{n-j}\right) \exp [(p-k)t].$$

5 Other models for the Burgess equation

$$\frac{\partial n(r,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial n(r,t)}{\partial r} \right) + pn(r,t) - kn(r,t)$$
 (5.1)

which contains a Malthusian term consisting of two parts, both of which were constant. The first term contains the rate of replication of the glioblastoma cells and the second term contains a killing term which could be due to intervention such as chemotherapy.

We could examine the effect of a proliferation rate, p, which is not a constant but which depends upon the radial distance r. We could also examine the effect of a killing term, k, which is a function of time.

The assumption of a constant proliferation rate does not take into account the effect of crowding and is part of the assumption of growth in a uniform and isotropic medium. We can assume that the proliferation rate is proportional to the radial distance, that is

$$p = cr^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha > 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Possible values for α which are mathematically amenable are $\alpha = 1, 2, -2$. In the case of $\alpha = 1$ the Burgess equation is

$$\frac{\partial n(r,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial n(r,t)}{\partial r} \right) + crn(r,t) - kn(r,t).$$

When $\alpha = 2$, the Burgess equation has the form

$$\frac{\partial n(r,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial n(r,t)}{\partial r} \right) + c r^2 n(r,t) - k n(r,t).$$

A third possibility for a nontrivial algebra of Lie point symmetries occurs when $\alpha = -2$, ie

$$\frac{\partial n(r,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial n(r,t)}{\partial r} \right) + cr^{-2} n(r,t) - kn(r,t).$$

6 Can there be Chaos?